

# **DRAFT 2019 CAPER**

# **County of sacramento**

02/28/2020 Sacramento Housing and Redevelopemnt Agency

## Contents

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes	2
CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted	.13
CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)	.14
CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)	.21
CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)	. 25
CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)	. 29
CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)	.32
CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230	.40
CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)	.42
CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)	.43
CR-55 - HOPWA 91.520(e)	.46
CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)	.46
CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes	.49
CR-75 – Expenditures	.51

### **CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes**

# Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

The Consolidated Plan is designed to help local jurisdictions assess their affordable housing and community development needs and market conditions, and to make data-driven, placed-based investment decisions. The consolidated planning process serves as the framework for a community-wide dialogue to identify housing and community development priorities that align and focus funding from four federal Community Planning and Development (CPD) formula block grant programs: Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), HOME Investment Partnerships Program (HOME), Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG), and Housing Opportunities for Persons With AIDS Program(HOPWA). Grantees report on accomplishments and progress towards meeting Consolidated Plan goals in the prior year using Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER).

Note, some goals were underestimated or added since the development of the Consolidated Plan including for ESG such as 1) number of shelter bed nights was underestimated and 2) in 2014 the ESG funded Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) program was implemented. Additionally, as part of the 2018 Action Plan, the Sacramento City Council and Sacramento Board of Supervisors approved the extension of the regional Sacramento Consolidated Plan and Analysis of Impediments through 2019 in order to accommodate the new regional Assessment of Fair Housing (AFH) initiative (see CR-35).The Consolidated Plan cycle is now 2013-2019. Federal law changed during 2018 requiring HUD grantees to return to the AI rather than submit an AFH. This is the final CAPER for the 2013-2019 Consolidated Plan.

The following overarching goals of the Consolidated Plan guide the Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency (SHRA) in assigning annual community priorities:

- Provide decent housing for low-and moderate-income households, and those with special needs;
- Provide safer, more livable neighborhoods; and
- Expand economic opportunities.

# Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g) Final numbers will be entered when report is complete.

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee's program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
Administration	Affordable Housing Non- Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	HOME: \$ / ESG: \$	Other	Other	0	0				
Agreement Cities	Affordable Housing Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / HOME: \$ / ESG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	5000	1828	36.56%	0	0	
Agreement Cities	Affordable Housing Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / HOME: \$ / ESG: \$	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	250	374	149.60%	40	51	127.50%

Housing Development, Preservation & Homeownership	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / HOME: \$ / ESG: \$	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	150	48	32.00%	11	0	0.00%
Housing Development, Preservation & Homeownership	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / HOME: \$ / ESG: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	405	110	27.16%	11	16	145.45%
Housing Development, Preservation & Homeownership	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / HOME: \$ / ESG: \$	Homeowner Housing Added	Household Housing Unit	0	0				
Housing Development, Preservation & Homeownership	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / HOME: \$ / ESG: \$	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	1290	851	65.97%	200	144	72.00%
Housing Development, Preservation & Homeownership	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / HOME: \$ / ESG: \$	Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers	Households Assisted	125	91	72.80%	30	3	10.00%

Housing Development, Preservation & Homeownership	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / HOME: \$ / ESG: \$	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	0	0				
Housing Development, Preservation & Homeownership	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / HOME: \$ / ESG: \$	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	0	0				
Housing Development, Preservation & Homeownership	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / HOME: \$ / ESG: \$	Housing for People with HIV/AIDS added	Household Housing Unit	0	0				
Infrastructure and Public Improvements	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / HOME: \$ / ESG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	82000	163577	199.48%	18100	40543	223.99%

Public Services	Affordable Housing Public Housing Homeless Non- Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / HOME: \$ / ESG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	23600	17595	74.56%	2275	1965	86.37%
Public Services	Affordable Housing Public Housing Homeless Non- Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / HOME: \$ / ESG: \$	Public service activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	0	0				

Public Services	Affordable Housing Public Housing Homeless Non- Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / HOME: \$ / ESG: \$	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	75	556	741.33%	75	81	108.00%
Public Services	Affordable Housing Public Housing Homeless Non- Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / HOME: \$ / ESG: \$	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	2300	3329	144.74%	200	392	196.00%

Public Services	Affordable Housing Public Housing Homeless Non- Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / HOME: \$ / ESG: \$	Overnight/Emergency Shelter/Transitional Housing Beds added	Beds	146000	164411	112.61%	14600	29200	200.00%
Public Services	Affordable Housing Public Housing Homeless Non- Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / HOME: \$ / ESG: \$	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	50	43	86.00%			

Public Services	Affordable Housing Public Housing Homeless Non- Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / HOME: \$ / ESG: \$	Housing for Homeless added	Household Housing Unit	2	0	0.00%		
Public Services	Affordable Housing Public Housing Homeless Non- Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / HOME: \$ / ESG: \$	Housing for People with HIV/AIDS added	Household Housing Unit	0	0			

Public Services	Affordable Housing Public Housing Homeless Non- Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$ / HOPWA: \$ / HOME: \$ / ESG: \$	HIV/AIDS Housing Operations	Household Housing Unit	0	0					
-----------------	--	--	--------------------------------	------------------------------	---	---	--	--	--	--	--

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

# Assess how the jurisdiction's use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

Measurable progress was made on the majority of the goals established in the Consolidated Plan, as is outlined in the tables above. In some instances actual accomplishments exceeded the anticipated goals. This was especially true for activities that provided supportive services and housing-related assistance to homeless individuals and families.

Delays were encountered by some capital improvement projects, making it difficult to complete the activity and report total accomplishments by the close of the program year. In addition, a number of affordable housing projects experienced delays primarily due to the need to secure additional financial resources. As projects are complete and closed out in the HUD Integrated Disbursement & Information System (IDIS), they are reported in *CR-20 – Affordable Housing*.

The following reports were used in the development of the CAPER:

- Con Plan Goals and Accomplishments (IDIS)
- Summary of Activities (IDIS, PR03)
- Drawdown Report by Voucher Number (IDIS, PR07)
- HOME Summary of Accomplishments Reports (IDIS, PR23 (attached))
- CDBG Financial Summary (IDIS, PR26 (attached))
- Shelter Bed Inventroy Bed Night Availability (provided by Sacramento Steps Forward)
- SAGE ESG Emergency Shelter, Rapid Re-Housing and Prevention Program (attached)

Note: Per the request of the local HUD office, although there are no federal funds for direct homebuyer assistance, we are to report the number of local or State-funded loans serviced under homebuyer assistance delivery since staffing is federally funded (see IDIS# 4135). Total number of households served was 3. Also, number of homes rehabilitated in Agreement Cities is reported in the Homebuyer Housing Rehabilitated category.

Note: "Expected" includes expected accomplishments for the particular program year and could include multi-year projects, while "Actual" includes accomplishments during the program year regardless of funding year. Larger infrastructure and housing projects are often mult-year projects and the accomplishments are rolled into the year the project is completed and closed in IDIS. Additionally, there may be discrepancies between expected for the year versus the strategic plan (Consolidated Plan) period as projects, activities and funding resources are updated, added or deleted.

#### 2019 County CIP Completed Projects

County CIP Activity Name	IDIS#	Number of Persons
District 2 LED streetlights	4083	8890
Howe Avenue Sidewalk Installation (Design)	4080	3540
Rosemont Area Streetlight (Design)	4049	3495
Jack Davis Park Improvement (Design)	4028	3785
Florin Area New Streetlight	4028	7370
Rosemont Community Park Improvement Phase 1	4128	3785
Nicholas Park	4132	3785
Total L/M Persons Served		34650

2019 County Sacramento Completed Capital Improvement and Public Facility Projects

## CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted). 91.520(a)

2019 - County of Sacramento Racia	/ Ethnic (	Categories	5	
	CDBG	HOME	ESG	Total
White	617	1	-	618
Black or African American	119	2	-	121
Asian	118	10	-	128
American Indian or Alaska Native	20	-	-	20
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	4	1	-	5
American Indian/Alaskan Native & White	4	-	-	4
Asian & White	4	-	-	4
Asian & Black/African American	-	-	-	-
Black/African American & White	-	-	-	-
Amer. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African American	1	-	-	1
White & American Indian/Alaskan Native	1	-	-	1
Other	155	2	-	157
Total	1,044	16	-	1,059
Hispanic	57	-	-	57
Non-Hispanic	987	16	-	1,003

Note:

CDBG data is from County CDBG tab

HOME data from PR23 and FTHB info

See attached ESG CAPER for ESG client demographics from HMIS

See HOPWA CAPER for HOPWA client demographics

The City of Sacramento receives HOPWA on behalf of the City and County of Sacramento

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

#### Narrative

IDIS does not pull demographic information correctly. SHRA is required to collect more race categories than referenced in the above table allows. Please refer to the table below (attached in online version) for CDBG (public services, homeowner rehab programs) and CDBG/HOME client demographics including the Citrus Heights First-Time Homebuyer program. The ESG and HOPWA CAPER is submitted as a stand alone document and can be found on SHRA's website.

Please refer to the attached ESG SAGE (CAPER) report for ESG client demographics.

## CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made Available	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG	CDBG	12,316,658.85	3,358,378.41
HOME	HOME	4,113,760	3,719,137.85
HOPWA	HOPWA	0	0
ESG	ESG	484,844.00	442,800.32
Other	Other		

#### Identify the resources made available

Table 3 - Resources Made Available

#### Narrative

The table above outlines the allocations and expenditures between January 1, 2019 and December 31, 2019. CDBG information above pulled from IDIS report PR 26 - CDBG Financial Summary Report (02/27/2020). The amount expended is all funds expended during the program year regardless of funding year. HOME information above is pulled from IDIS report PR 26 (02/27/2020) and the expenditures that occurred in 2018.

Resources made available include program income and previous year's funds available. "Expended" information is gathered from the expenditures that occured in 2019.

#### Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned	Actual	Narrative Description
	Percentage of	Percentage of	
	Allocation	Allocation	
			Efficient and effective implementation
			and oversight of community
Administration	0		development programs.
			Cities of Folsom, Galt, Isleton and Citrus
Agreement Cities	0		Heights
			Affordable housing and community
			service programs based on eligible
Citywide	0		population not geographic area.
			Affordable housing and community
			service programs based on eligible
Countywide	0		population not geographic area.
Eligible Metropolitan			The four county region comprised of El
Service Area (EMSA)	0		Dorado, Placer, Sacramento and Yolo.
Sacramento Region			
Priority Areas	0		Low- and Moderate-Income Areas

#### Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

#### Narrative

CDBG assistance is provided in low- and moderate-income communities, including the incorporated cities of Folsom, Isleton, Galt, the County unincorporated areas, and the city of Citrus Heights (HOME only) upon Board of Supervisor approval. The boundaries of these areas cover five supervisorial districts that are adjusted every U.S Census term (10 years). Capital improvement projects are either in targeted areas or low/moderate income areas.

Per IDIS report PR26:

- Low/Mod benefit this reporting period 93.60%
- Public Service Cap 11.33%
- Planning and Administration Cap 10.98%

Most activities undertaken utilize CDBG, HOME, and ESG funds to prevent homelessness, reduce persons in poverty and improve the quality of life for Sacramento residents; either directly or indirectly. These funds are also often used as matching funds for activities that prevent homelessness and reduce the number of families in poverty. SHRA continues to serve as the State's Administrative Entity for ESG for the Counties of Sacramento and Solano both jurisdictions provide rapid re-housing services countywide to their respective jurisdictions. SHRA's commitment as AE to Solano County ends in 2019. SHRA and the Sacramento County Child Protective Services (CPS) continued the Bringing Families Home Program; ESG is utilized as match to assist in reunifying homeless or at-risk homeless families.

Examples of completed or underway Capital Improvement Projects:

#### **Completed**

- Florin Area New Street Light project: installed new streetlights within the boundary of Florin Road, Palmer House Drive and Fleming Avenue. Project was completed in 2019.
- **Dist. 1 Neighborhood Sidewalk Repair Project:** Removed and replaced curb, gutter, and sidewalk at various locations within south Sacramento. Project is complete and paid for.
- Dist. 4 Neighborhood Low/Mod ADA Curb Ramp Project: Installation of 9 ADA curb ramps at unsignalized locations.
- **<u>Rosemont Community Park:</u>** Costs associated to the design for the improvements to the park. Construction began in spring 2019 and completed by end of the year pending payments.
- <u>Galt Central Corridor</u>: Streetscape, parking, and drainage improvements in the Historic Old Town of Galt.

#### <u>Underway</u>

- Jack Davis Park: design and pre-construction phase have been completed, construction is getting underway and should be completed by end of 2020.
- Nicholas Park Improvements: Installation of playground equipment and related site work. Budget amendment was made in 2019, completion is expected by end of 2020.
- <u>47th Ave. Pedestrian and Bicycle Improvements Project:</u> Pre-construction costs associated to the design, right-of-way acquisition, and other related activities to the project. Project was amended for additional cost, expected to be completed by end of 2020.
- **Rosemont Area Street Light project:** design has been completed. construction is underway which includes project bid phase, award and execution of the contract, construction of the street light project, construction support and other related activities. Completion is scheduled for end of 2020.
- <u>44<sup>th</sup> Avenue Pedestrian/Beautification Phase II:</u> construction of sidewalks infill and curb ramps as needed along 44<sup>th</sup> Avenue between 39<sup>th</sup> street and Franklin blvd. First and second preconstruction have been completed. Construction is expected to be completed by end of 2020.

SHRA also administers activities that support public services for low-income residents, including home repairs to owner-occupied homes, senior nutrition (Meals on Wheels), homeless programs (emergency shelters, rapid re-housing) and medical detoxification services.

#### Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

Sacramento shares HUD's goals of using Consolidated Plan funds to seed programs and projects that will ultimately provide financially self-sufficient. Unfortunately, over the past six-years other federal, state, and local public resources for most of the activities eligible for Consolidated Plan funding have been cut severely in order to reduce deficits. Private resources have been similarly limited by reductions in corporate, foundation, and individual giving to nearly all non-profit entities. As a result, it has been nearly impossible for many organizations that address the priority needs established in the Consolidated Plan to survive without the assistance of the CDBG, HOME and ESG funding.

As a jurisdiction with substantial affordable housing and community development needs, the County needs to leverage its CDBG, HOME and ESG entitlement grants with a variety of non-CPD funding sources and programs to maximize the effectiveness of available funds. The availability of these local, state, and non-profit resources and programs have greatly improved the County's ability to address community development needs.

In 2019, approximately \$6 million in local, state and federal funds were provided as leverage for all programs (data source: 2019 HUD Form 424/leverage worksheets).

Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match						
2,044,865.63						
551,272.64						
2,596,138.27						
1,137,500.00						
1,458,638.27						
- -						

Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

	Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year								
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match	
Crossroad									
gardens (40510)	12/20/2018	228,540.48	184,914.35	0	0	0	137,818.16	551,272.64	

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

#### HOME MBE/WBE report

Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period							
Balance on hand at begin-ning of reporting period \$	Amount received during reporting period \$	Total amount expended during reporting period \$	Amount expended for TBRA \$	Balance on hand at end of reporting period \$			
3,544,562.96	7,666,758.59	206,830.33	0	10,985,704.06			

Table 7 – Program Income

	Total	ſ	White Non-			
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non- Hispanic	Hispanic	Hispanic
Contracts						
Dollar						
Amount	8,865,287	0	0	0	0	8,865,287
Number	1	0	0	0	0	1
Sub-Contract	ts					
Number	5	0	0	1	0	4
Dollar						
Amount	302,073	0	0	66,175	0	235,898
	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male			
Contracts						
Dollar						
Amount	8,865,287	0	8,865,287			
Number	1	0	1			
Sub-Contract	ts					
Number	5	4	1			
Dollar						
Amount	302,073	235,898	66,175			

Table 8 - Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

<b>Minority Owners of Rental Property</b> – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted							
	Total	Alaskan Native or American Indian	Native or     Pacific     Hispanic       American     Islander				
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dollar							
Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property

.

<b>Relocation and Real Property Acquisition</b> – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of								
Parcels Acquired		number	number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition					
Businesses Disp				0		0		
Nonprofit Orgar								
Displaced				0		0		
Households Ten	nporarily							
Relocated, not [	Displaced			0		0		
Households	Total			Minority P	rope	rty Enterprises		White Non-
Displaced		Alas	kan	Asian o	or	Black Non-	Hispanic	Hispanic
		Nativ	e or	Pacific	2	Hispanic		
		Amei	nerican Islande		er			
		Indi	ian					
Number	0		0		0	0	0	0
Cost	0		0		0	0	0	0

Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

## CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be		
provided affordable housing units	275	0
Number of Non-Homeless households to be		
provided affordable housing units	0	0
Number of Special-Needs households to be		
provided affordable housing units	0	0
Total	275	0

Table 11 – Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through		
Rental Assistance	0	0
Number of households supported through		
The Production of New Units	11	0
Number of households supported through		
Rehab of Existing Units	51	231
Number of households supported through		
Acquisition of Existing Units	0	0
Total	62	231

Table 12 – Number of Households Supported

# Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

Accomplishments for the number of homeless households to be provided with affordable housing units (table 11) and number of households supported through rental assistance (table 12) can be found in the attached ESG SAGE. The number of non-homeless households to be provided with affordable housing unit (table 11) includes accomplishments for Citrus Heights First-Time Homebuyer Program. The number of households supported through the production of new units, rehab of existing units or acquisition of new units (table 12) comes from the CDBG and HOME PR 23 IDIS and only includes completed projects. This section does not include accomplishments for emergency shelters, transitional shelters or social services which are instead recorded in CR-10.

In 2019, SHRA housing programs were successful in meeting the objectives of expanding the supply of and improving the quality of affordable housing for low- and moderate-income households. SHRA

programs were utilized both to increase home-ownership opportunities, to provide rapid re-housing assistance to the homeless, homeowner repair assistance, to create new units of multifamily housing, and to rehabilitate existing multifamily projects.

As can be seen from the above table, the rehabilitation of existing units (owner-occupied and rental) far exceeded expectations. The goal was met with the countywide owner-occupied rehabilitation program, multi-family rehabilitation projects, and the City of Folsom's Seniors Helping Seniors owner-occupied rehabilitation program.

Existing affordable housing project that was rehabilitated: The substantial rehabilitation of Crossroad Gardens was closed out in IDIS in 2019.

Mercy Housing made significant progress towards completion of Courtyard Inn which will convert a motel and add additional housing to create permanent supportive housing for homeless individuals and families. Construction completion and occupancy are targeted for 2020. SHRA also provided funding for the substantial rehabilitation of Southwind Apartments which preserves 88 affordable units and will complete construction in early 2020.

#### Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

SHRA will continue to evaluate its programs and adjust as necessary in future One-Year Action Plans. Direct homebuyer assistance programs were not funded using CDBG or HOME resources in 2019.

SHRA took over administration of the Home Repair Program in 2015 from Rebuilding Together. Through the use of CDBG funds, Home Repair Program offers grants to low-income households (50% of median income) for emergency/health and safety repairs and accessibility modifications for disabled homeowners and renters that earn less than 80% of median income. SHRA multifamily new construction and rehabilitation programs were funded with HOME and leveraged dollars from bonds and tax credits, state, local, and private sources.

Where HOME funds were used to assist, our programs met the Section 215 requirements for affordable housing regarding purchase price, income levels served and resale restrictions. The Development Finance team continues to offer loans to affordable developers, both for profit and nonprofit, and to property owners for the rehabilitation of substandard housing and for the development of new affordable housing. The Department's purpose is to invest public funds in new construction or rehabilitation projects that preserve and expand the supply of affordable housing. Staff continued to underwrite the issuance of tax-exempt mortgage revenue bonds, perform credit analyses, and create public financing structures. SHRA provides housing loans to support new construction in growth areas and urban infill development in older neighborhoods, the rehabilitation of deteriorated properties as a revitalization tool, and for the continued preservation of existing affordable housing.

#### **Worst-Case Housing Needs**

Worst-case housing needs are defined as low-income renter households who pay more than half their income for rent, live in seriously substandard housing (includes homeless people) or have been involuntarily displaced. Sacramento serves the worst-case needs by working with the Housing Authority, public service agencies, and with Sacramento Steps Forward (SSF), Lead Agency of Continuum of Care (CoC), to maintain an umbrella of services to assist residents in their time of need. For further information, please refer to CoC report on file with SSF for information on how community addresses emergency housing and assistance services.

#### Housing Needs of Persons with Disabilities

All of the County's funded housing developments comply with the Architectural Barriers Act of 1968, the Fair Housing Act of 1988, and Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990.

There are a variety of services for persons with disabilities in the Sacramento Region. An example is the State of California's Department of Developmental Services. This department provides services for children and adults with developmental disabilities, including, living arrangements, advocacy for the protection of legal, civil and service rights. In addition to the State's programs, Disability Rights of California also provides services, including, rights to basic support, personal care, therapy and health care; discrimination in housing, transportation, employment, and access to public and private programs and services.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Households Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual
Extremely Low-income	95	0
Low-income	103	3
Moderate-income	32	13
Total	227	16

Table 13 – Number of Households Served

#### **Narrative Information**

Information above is from the PR23 IDIS reports: CDBG and HOME Summary of Accomplishments .

SHRA makes housing options available to all qualified individuals regardless of race, color, religion, sex, familial status, disability, national origin, sexual orientation, and gender identity. SHRA markets its single-family home loan programs, such as Mortgage Credit Certificates, to area lenders, who are trained to offer the program to all income-eligible buyers. SHRA also contracts with professional housing counseling agencies and requires homebuyer education and counseling to all program participants. Counseling services include outreach in different languages, and education on fair housing law.

For all multi-family rental projects, SHRA strictly enforces affirmative marketing and Equal Housing Opportunity practices which was updated in 2019. Each SHRA-funded project is required to report the status of their affirmative marketing practices annually using SHRA'S Affirmative Marketing Compliance Questionnaire. Compliance measures include but are not limited to advertising in both general circulation and minority newspapers, and displaying the Equal Housing Opportunity logo in a prominent location that is visible to both ingress and egress to the property.

## CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c) Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

# Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

The CoC Board oversees all CoC business, facilitating policy and planning to prevent and end homelessness via engaged members and strong committees addressing coordinated entry implementation and evaluation, HMIS data quality and security, and project performance review. The CoC continued to implement the Coordinated Entry System (CES) launched in 2015, matching ESG and CoC funded permanent housing to literally homeless individuals and families based on a common assessment of service needs. An assessment and redesign of the CES is currently underway, to identify opportunities for improvement, as well as to determine the appropriate funding levels required to fully meet the need in Sacramento. The HMIS tracks the needs and outcomes of households experiencing homelessness that are engaged with the system. SSF employs ten outreach navigators responsible for engaging unsheltered persons and developing person-centered permanent housing plans. Housing plans focus on individual strengths and preferences to end homeless episodes as quickly as possible with the minimum amount of assistance necessary to facilitate successful outcomes. Navigators link all clients with many different services to meet their needs and priorities. All outreach programs in the CoCare also entry points to the CoC's Coordinated Entry System (CES) for subsidized permanent housing, conducting a standard assessment of vulnerability and severity of service needs as well as service linkage needs, and preparing clients for housing through "document readiness" tasks including homeless certifications, identification, and disability certification as needed. SSF produces a quaterly report that includes everyone the system knows to be homeless, system flow, and the number housed. Unsheltered chronically homeless clients with the longest histories of homelessness and the most severe service needs are prioritized for Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) beds in the system. Other unsheltered clients are prioritized for referral to RRH.

The CES standard assessment tool is also used for sheltered persons, who also receive support with document readiness. Sheltered clients are prioritization for PSH and RRH based on the same criteria as unsheltered clients. The CoC and SSF continue to implement the CES. In 2019, nearly all of HUD CoC projects are participating in the system with the last expected to be added in 2020. In 2018, SSF began operating Housing Resource Access Points (HRAP), sites at which diversion services and standard assessment are provided. HRAPs are official CES entry points, accessed via 211 Sacramento. Because HRAP appointments are limited, SSF began piloting telephone triage services to all HRAP appointment holders in an effort to identify alternate solutions. Through the HOPWA program, Volunteers of America (VOA) reaches out to the HIV/AIDs, homeless population to make them aware of their HOPWA-funded transitional shelter. Once in the shelter, clients are provided HOPWA-funded supportive services including case management, life skills management, nutritional services and alcohol and drug abuse services. VOA works with a variety of organizations and hospitals including Sutter Hospital, UC Davis,

One Community Health clinics, and Strategies for Change.

#### Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

ESG, HOPWA and CDBG funding, along with funding from other resources, will continue to be utilized for emergency shelter needs for homeless individuals and families, including an emergency shelter for men and RRH for singles and families funded by ESG and North 5th Street Emergency Shelter funded by CDBG. Refer to the ESG section and ESG SAGE for ESG Accomplishments, CR-55, and the HOPWA CAPER for HOWPA performance outcomes.

VOA also operates a HOPWA-funded transitional shelter which served 88 households in 2018.

In 2019, the CoC had over 500 RRH beds/night, with programs targeted to veterans, transition age youth (TAY), single adults, and families with children. Federal, state, and local funding supported these projects, including VA SSVF, HUD CoC and ESG funds, state ESG, Cal-WORKS, and local public and private funding. Refining the RRH progressive engagement model has been folded into a broader coordinated entry redesign that includes customizing case management by subpopulation as appropriate.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

Homelessness prevention objectives include community and supportive services for low- and moderateincome persons and those with special needs, including the homeless and persons living with HIV/AIDS. Over 20 private and public agencies in the Sacramento Region offer homeless prevention services that include employment services, mortgage assistance, rental assistance, fair housing services, and utility assistance. Other agencies offer counseling and advocacy services to assist individuals and families who are homeless or are at risk of becoming homeless. For example, Legal Services of Northern California substantive priorities are: preservation of housing, enhancing economic stability, family safety and stability, health care and civil rights. In addition to this organization, Pacific McGeorge School of Law provides law clinics for low-income residents and some members sit on non-profit boards and provide pro-bono work. Funding for these services comes from a combination of federal, state, local and private sources.

The CoC's Supportive Services for Veteran Families (SSVF) providers (VOA, Sacramento Valley Resource Center (SVRC) and Bringing Families Home Program(BFHP) Roads Home), the U.S. Department of Veteran Affairs (VA), other agencies serving veterans, and Coordinated Entry System (CES) operator SSF meet monthly as the Veterans Collaborative. The Collaborative shares resources, coordinates participation in Stand Down events, and has established a By Name List (BNL) of all homeless veterans in Sacramento. Veterans on the BNL are prioritized for permanent housing based on the CES standard assessment and case conferencing input from the Collaborative's participants. SVRC is also a subpopulation access point for CES.

The County's Child Protective Services administers the Independent Living Program (ILP), a federally funded program which assists current and former foster youth between the ages of 16 and 21 achieve self-sufficiency prior to, and after, exiting the foster care system. Services available to help young people prepare for adulthood include: education resources, assistance with applications for student aid, housing resources, and help in obtaining a job among other services. In addition to ILP is the Extended Foster Care (AB12) to assist foster youth in maintaining a safety net of support while experiencing independence in a secure and supervised living environment. The extended time as a non-minor dependent can assist the youth in becoming better prepared for successful transition into adulthood and self-sufficiency through education and employment training.

A collaborative of the hospital systems, community based organizations and the County have come together to create the Interim Care Program (ICP) - a respite care shelter for homeless patients discharged from hospitals. Kaiser Permanente; Mercy; Sutter Medical Center, Sacramento; UC Davis Medical Center; and the County provide on-going funding for the program.

The Sacramento CoC's Homeless Youth Task Force, with representation from County Office of Education, advocacy organizations, youth service providers and homeless youth themselves, meets monthly to address prevention, diversion and rehousing of youth up to age 24; participants report at least 20% of youth could be diverted from the homeless system with sufficient case management resources. An early adopter of the federal Fostering Connections to Success Act, California implemented multiple legislative acts so youth may remain connected to the foster system to age 21. The Children's Receiving Home, Lutheran Social Services, VOA and the County worked with youth through social workers and workshops to ensure best housing placement options and provide "safety nets".

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

SSF outreach navigators provided diversion services for literally homeless households making first contact with the system. New outreach clients who had never received outreach services or stayed in a shelter receive intensive, strengths-based case management focused on reconnecting with support systems for housing.

The CoC Board continues to fund and seek additional funding to help homeless persons and families make the transition to permanent housing and independent living. In 2018, the CoC increased its total

HUD award for the 6th year in a row, with new Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) and Temporary Housing (TH) – Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) projects funded. SHRA has dedicated a portion of its Housing Choice Vouchers and public housing inventory to literally homeless households. The City of Sacramento was also administers Whole Person Care funds from the state to provide substantial outreach, care coordination, and housing navigation services targeted to homeless individuals.

SSF's CES outreach prioritizes the most vulnerable chronically homeless with the most severe service needs and longest episodes of homeless for connection to permanent supportive housing. An immediate connection to permanent supportive housing can ensure that over 80 percent of homeless individuals remain housed, even among clients with severe substance abuse and mental health conditions.

SHRA's HOPWA subrecipients provide short-term rental, mortgage and utility assistance (STRMU) as a method for preventing low-income individuals and families with HIV/AIDS from becoming homeless. STRMU is offered in El Dorado, Placer, Sacramento, and Yolo counties.

### CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

#### Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

The Housing Authorities' own and/or manage approximately 2,993 housing units within the City and County of Sacramento. Of these, 2,494 of the units were developed under the federal public housing program administered by HUD and are located throughout the Sacramento region with 1,699 units in the City and 795 units in the County. In addition to the public housing units, the Housing Authority manages another 498 units of local non-public housing.

Staff is continuing to streamline operations where possible and diligently monitor operating activities to ensure that we continue to maintain these high standards of performance into the future.

2019 highlights include:

- The Jobs Plus Pilot Grant continues to allow us to develop locally-based, job-driven approaches to increase earnings and advance employment outcomes through work readiness, employer linkages, job placement, educational advancement technology skills, and financial literacy for the Alder Grove and Marina Vista residents.
- Received renewal funding from HUD for a Family Self Sufficiency (FSS) Coordinator that will
  assess the needs of public housing residents and coordinate supportive services and other
  activities designed to help such residents attain economic and housing self-sufficiency. The FSS
  program is a five-year program that assists residents with job searches and links to social
  services, and allows residents to save a portion of rent subsidy towards future major purchases
  such as a home, car, or college tuition.
- Received renewal funding from HUD for two Resident Opportunities and Self Sufficiency Service Coordinators (ROSS-SC) to assist residents make progress towards economic and housing self-sufficiency. To accomplish this goal, Service Coordinators assess the needs of residents and link them to supportive services that enable participants to increase earned income, reduce or eliminate the need for welfare assistance, and make progress toward achieving economic independence and housing self-sufficiency.
- The transformation plan for the Twin Rivers Choice Neighborhoods Initiative, now known as the Mirasol Village Project, is underway and includes approximately a 500 unit mixed-income community with public, workforce, and market rate housing and a new light rail station. California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) review has been completed. Resident relocation was completed in March 2019. The Housing Authority of the City of Sacramento received a \$16.49 Million Section 108 Loan assist with the financing of infrastructure construction including new streets, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, utilities, sewer and water improvements, and development of a new community garden. In December 2018, SHRA received a \$23 Million grant award from the Transformative Climate Communities Program for the Sacramento Integrated Multimodal Place-based Living Project to support the transformation efforts. More than \$17 Million will go toward construction of the light rail

station. In June 2019, the housing developer, McCormack Baron Salazar and SHRA were awarded \$18.8 million from the Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities program. Approximately \$13 million of the funds will fund the construction of the housing units and over \$5 million will support transportation related improvements and amenities.

- The Housing Authority has been awarded a Commitment to enter into a Housing Assistance Payment (CHAP) for 124 units in the RAD Pilot program. A RAD application will be submitted annually, until all scheduled units are converted.
- The updated Asset Repositioning Study includes the adoption of the Guiding Principles that shape and guide future strategic long term vision of a self-sustaining real estate portfolio to serve low income residents.

#### Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD)

The Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD) is a federal housing program that was enacted as part of the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act, 2012, and is administered by the U.S. Department of Housing & Urban Development. Broadly, the purpose of the Rental Assistance Demonstration is to provide a set of tools to address the unmet capital needs of deeply affordable, federally assisted rental housing properties in order to maintain both the viability of the properties and their long-term affordability.

The Housing Authority has plans to convert and modernize all of it public housing units to RAD, request Tenant Protection Vouchers.

#### Section 3

Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968, as amended (12 U.S.C. 1701u) (Section 3) requires that employment and other economic and business opportunities are directed to public housing residents and other low-income persons, to the greatest extent feasible, particularly recipients of government housing assistance, and business concerns that provide economic opportunities to low-and very low-income persons.

In 2019, five Section 3 workshops were held for contractors, vendors, and/or residents.

# Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

The Housing Authority encourages public housing residents to participate in policy, procedure and program implementation and development through its Resident Advisory Board (RAB). The RAB is an elected Board representing all of the public housing residents. The RAB serves as an organized spokesperson for the resident body to present resident concerns to the authority and to participate and provide feedback on the Public Housing Agency 5-Year and Annual Plan, policy revisions, and the development of resident programs on behalf of the residents.

Public housing residents are encouraged to participate in homeownership programs through various financial literacy workshops and home buying resources.

In addition, the Housing Authority disposed of 54 scattered single family homes to a Purchase and Resale Entity (PRE) under the Welcome Home Program. In 2019, twelve homes were sold for a total of 53. This program is eliminating blight through the complete rehabilitation of the homes and will provide homeownership opportunities for qualified low-income homebuyers.

#### Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

Not a troubled PHA.

## CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

SHRA continued to collaboratively work with County staff to implement and revise as necessary existing housing ordinances and policies currently in place through the General Plan. These housing policies aim to expand affordable housing opportunities and enact strategies for extremely low-, very low-, low-, and moderate-income households, and provide additional supportive services and homeless assistance throughout the Sacramento Region.

The County Planning Departments and SHRA coordinate on preparation of the Housing Element, with comprehensive housing policies, goals and programs. The Housing Element includes an analysis of existing and projected housing needs; an analysis of potential governmental constraints, residential land inventory, housing policies, programs and quantified objectives to address housing needs.

The Affordable Housing Ordinance requires almost every new builder of market rate housing to either pay a set fee or comply using a few other options to increase affordable housing.

#### Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The 2013-19 Consolidated Plan lists the following obstacles to meeting underserved needs:

- Limited availability of funding from federal, state and other sources;
- High cost of housing and conditions in Sacramento which increases the difficulty of meeting affordable housing needs; and
- Ordinances and regulations limiting housing for low-and moderate-income households and special needs groups.

The State of California has increased resources for affordable housing through the State's Cap – and – Trade program, issuance of general obligation bonds, allowing the bonding of mental health funds for permanent supportive housing, and the creation of the State's first permanent, ongoing source of affordable housing. Most of these funding sources are competitive and require local agencies like SHRA to provide local leverage and to work closely with affordable housing developers. SHRA works to align local funding cycles and requests for proposals for Project-Based Vouchers in a manner that best supports developers in our community to access these resources. In 2018, SHRA was awarded \$23 million in Cap-and-Trade funds to support the Mirasol Village Project, formerly known as the Twin Rivers public housing redevelopment project. In 2019, SHRA and its co-developer were awarded \$18.8 million through the Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities program. SHRA staff track the sources and requirements being developed by State agencies in order to provide technical assistance to our partners and ensure access to resources for our community.

The State of California has increased resources for affordable housing through the State's Cap-and-Trade program, issuance of general obligation bonds, allowing the bonding of mental health funds for permanent supportive housing, and the creation of the State's first permanent, ongoing sources of affordable housing. Most of these funding sources are competitive and require local agencies like SHRA to provide local leverage and support affordable housing developers with concepts, commitments, and deadlines. SHRA works to align local funding cycles and requests for proposals for Project – Based Vouches in a manner that best supports developers in our community to access these resources. In 2018, SHRA was awarded \$23 million in Cap-and-Trade funds to support the Twin Rivers public housing redevelopment project. SHRA staff track the sources and requirements being developed by State agencies in order to provide technical assistance to our partners and ensure access to resources for our community.

Finally, SHRA staff responded to increased programmatic and administrative demands by creating greater internal efficiencies and realigning program and services delivery internally and within its provider network. SHRA staff worked collaboratively with both the City and County elected officials, as well as the Planning, Parks, Transportation, Public Works, Economic Development and General Services Departments to identify projects that met federal community development program eligibility and meet timely draw down requirements. Staff continued to fine-tune this approach to help ensure projects were ready to go and project funds were spent quickly and effectively.

#### Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The Department of Health and Human Services and SHRA have taken actions to reduce lead-based paint (LBP) hazards in accordance with HUD regulations. Housing units with lead-based paint were identified and actions were taken to remove the hazard.

#### Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Program (CLPPP)

The Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Program (CLPPP) provides services to the community for the purpose of increasing awareness regarding the hazards of lead exposure, reducing lead exposure, and increasing the number of children assessed and appropriately blood tested for lead poisoning. The CLPPP program offers Public Health Nursing (PHN) home visits, environmental home inspections, and nutritional assessments to families of children who meet the case definition described above. The CLPPP provides telephone contacts and educational materials to families of lead-poisoned and lead-exposed children. The CLPPP provides information and education to the general public, medical providers, and community-based organizations.

#### SHRA Administered Programs – Lead

Public Housing: For units slated for modernization that were built prior to 1978 are tested for LBP by a licensed contractor, unless staff already has a report on file. Construction repairs are performed following the guidelines, including HUD and OSHA guidelines, outlined in the Consultant's report. For occupied multi-family housing units not slated for modernization, visual inspections are performed annually by Housing Authority staff. Residents are also provided with information about lead-based paint hazards. If portions of a dwelling unit are showing signs of deteriorated painted surfaces suspected of containing LBP, staff immediately has the unit tested and abated as necessary. Housing Authority staff routinely attends Hazardous Materials awareness training and seminars to stay current with regulations. For Public Housing units, lead based paint testing and abatement are performed by staff. Housing Choice Voucher: Property owners, applicants, and participants are provided general information about leadbased paint hazards and stabilization techniques using safe work practices before an inspection is conducted. Housing Choice Voucher staff performs a Housing Quality Standard (HQS) inspection of each pre-1978 unit where a child under the age of 6 is expected to reside. If any defective paint surface is observed, the unit is failed and the owner and tenant are provided with detailed information about stabilizing defective paint surfaces using safe work practices. Information is also provided about required clearances and record keeping. Once the appropriate lead-based paint abatement has taken place the unit is cleared by the HQS inspector. SHRA requires ESG RRH program providers to conduct a lead-based paint visual assessment of a unit occupied by all households receiving any financial assistance if all three of the following criteria exist: 1. Household is served with RRH funding; and 2. Housing is occupied by families with children under the age of 6 or a pregnant woman; and 3. Housing was constructed before 1978. Completed and signed forms are to be kept in the client file. Lead-based paint inspections are not required if the property has had all lead-based paint identified and removed in accordance with HUD regulations. Proof must be kept in the client file per the above criteria. Home Repair Program technicians will also perform lead paint inspections and abatement as needed. Inspection reports are provided to homeowners. Housing units subsidized with CDBG, ESG and HOME funds must be in compliance with federal regulations concerning lead-based paint and fire safety as set forth in the Lead- Based Paint Poisoning Prevention Act of 1973, the Fire Administration Authorization Act of 1992, and the 2008 Renovation, Repair and Painting Rule.

#### Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

SHRA collaborated with the City and County to continue efforts to reduce the number of families and individuals living in poverty. Staff focused primarily on supporting programs that raise household incomes and stabilize housing situations. It also supports the HUD McKinney Vento Grant for which SSF applies, in hopes of receiving funds to support the development of affordable housing for homeless persons to make the transition to permanent housing and independent living.

Eliminating poverty is a high priority for the City and County. Current efforts underway to improve the quality of life and economic conditions for families include:

- Sacramento Employment & Training Agency (SETA), a joint powers agency of the City and County, connects people to jobs, business owners to quality employees, education and nutrition to children. Annually, SETA serves over 45,000 customers.
- The Sacramento Promise Zone, administered by SHRA, is a partnership between federal, state and local agencies to give local leaders proven tools to improve the quality of life in some of Sacramento's most vulnerable areas.
- SHRA has several programs for public housing authority residents including: Section 3 program; Job Plus Program; and Family Self-Sufficiency program that enables residents to increase their earned income and reduce their dependency on welfare assistance and rental subsidies.

#### Programs for Individuals Seeking Jobs:

- SETA Sacramento Works One-Stop Career Centers offer universal access to employers and job seekers. The centers integrate employment, education, and training resources from over 17 federally funded, employment and training-related programs.
- Regional Occupational Program (ROP) offers free job training through the Sacramento County Office of Education (SCOE). ROP classes are open to anyone 16 years of age or older.
- SCOE plays a leadership role in the delivery of quality education to the students in Sacramento County. SCOE directly educates more than 30,000 children and adults, and provides support services to over 230,000 students in 16 school districts.
- Sacramento 211 is a free, one-stop source of information for people looking for community services and resources, especially for those who need essential services, such as food, shelter, counseling, employment assistance, and more. Callers receive personalized information from a live resource specialist. It is confidential and available in more than 150 languages. Like 911 for emergency services, 211 has been set aside nationally by the Federal Communications Commission for the public to access community information more easily.

Other organizations working to assist families and individuals living in poverty include, but are not limited to: VOA, AmeriCorps Vista, the Salvation Army, Sacramento Housing Alliance and Legal Services of Northern California.

#### Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

#### The Executive Director and Administration Department

SHRA's Executive Director provides supportive direction and guidance to the organization in effectively implementing SHRA's mission and core goals. The Executive Director is responsible for developing and maintaining strong supportive relationships with elected officials at all levels of government. It is the Executive Director's responsibility to maintain fiscal integrity, to develop strategic partnerships with housing advocates, private and nonprofit organizations, business and community groups and residents, and to exercise visionary and innovative leadership to ensure that SHRA maintains a leading and proactive position in responding to external environmental factors that impact the future of the

organization and its ability to successfully address Sacramento's housing and community development needs.

SHRA's Executive Cabinet is comprised of the Executive Director, General Counsel, Directors of Administration, Development, Finance, and Public Information Officer for Communications. The Directors are responsible for all SHRA operations, as well as legal, fiscal and personnel management.

The Administrative Support Departments include: Agency Clerk, Information Management Technology Services, Public and Internal Communications, Risk Management, Procurement and Finance.

### **SHRA Departments**

**The Housing Authority** provides affordable housing in the City and County of Sacramento through the Conventional Public Housing Program, Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) programs, and through the selective use of tax credits and long term bond financed developments for extremely low-, very low-, and low-income families, seniors, and disabled individuals. Through the Conventional Public Housing Program, the Housing Authority provides apartments, duplexes, and some single-family homes to qualified low-income families. This housing is owned, managed and maintained by the Housing Authority, making it one of the largest landlords in Sacramento.

**The Development Department** works with various stakeholders to bring about positive change through investments in targeted neighborhoods. The primary responsibilities of the department include redevelopment successor agency assistance to the City and County administration of, federally-funded programs including CDBG, HOME, ESG, HOPWA and NSP, fair housing and homeless programs/activities, community outreach, various local, state and federal grants, and environmental clearance. The Department also monitors and regulates affordable rents, and implements city and county housing policies and programs.

**Real Estate and Construction Services (RECS) Department** is the support group for all of Development's activities is the Real Estate and Construction Services (RECS) group. RECS implements procurement policies required by the various federal, state, and local funding sources; procurement, oversight of construction activities; and handle all real estate transactions.

# Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Annually, SHRA prepares the One-Year Action Plan (Action Plan) on behalf of the City and County. The Action Plan serves as an update to the 2013-19 Consolidated Plan.

To enhance coordination between the public, private housing, health, and social service agencies during the Action Plan development process, SHRA coordinated with a variety of nonprofit organizations, including SSF, the Salvation Army, Volunteers of America and partnered with the County to revitalize

low-income communities utilizing NSP, CDBG, HOME, ESG, housing trust funds, mortgage revenue bonds, CalHome, and Mortgage Credit Certificates.

In addition, SHRA staff maintains working partnerships with SETA, the Sacramento Regional Transit District, financial institutions, school districts, community businesses, and public agencies and nonprofits. Other specific private and nonprofit housing development partners include (but are not limited to): Mutual Housing, Mercy Housing, Habitat for Humanity, Sierra Vista Housing Associates, LP, CFY Development, Inc., D&S Development, Inc., BRIDGE Housing Corporation and the John Stewart Company.

To better link services to the targeted population, SHRA staff also maintains relationships with various organizations, and local, state and federal agencies including but not limited to, the County Departments of Health and Human Services (DHHS) and Human Assistance (DHA), California's State Department of Housing and Community Development and the State's Housing Finance Agency, and HUD.

SHRA continues to administer the Promise Zone. The Promise Zone is a partnership between federal, state and local agencies to give local leaders proven tools to improve the quality of life in some of Sacramento's most vulnerable areas. As a Promise Zone, Sacramento receives significant benefits including priority access to federal investments that further local strategic plans, federal staff on the ground to help implement goals, and five full time AmeriCorps VISTA members to recruit and manage volunteers and strengthen the capacity of the Promise Zone partners.

Since 2015, 57 grants for a total of \$176,391,021 have been awarded in the Promise Zone. These funds have brought the community a weath of resources.

# Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

SHRA has a responsibility to affirmatively further fair housing within the City of Sacramento, the Unincorporated County of Sacramento, and the cities of Citrus Heights, Folsom, Isleton and Galt. SHRA strives through the implementation of its programs and outreach efforts to reduce housing discrimination in the housing rental, sales and lending on the basis of race, sex, color, religion, national origin, familial status, gender identity, or disability, in compliance with the Fair Housing Act. The Analysis of Fair Housing Choice (AI) was adopted by the Board of Supervisors as part of the 2012 One-Year Action Plan. This is the last report for the 2012 AI. SHRA and its regional partners contracted with Root Policy Research to develop an updated AI, and this AI was completed in 2019. 2019 accomplishments will be reported in the 2020 CAPER. Below are the final updates to the 2012 AI.

### Analysis to Fair Housing Choice Update

Al Impediment: Foreclosure Crisis and Discriminatory Subprime Lending Practices

The region's ongoing foreclosure crisis and the discriminatory subprime lending practices which precipitated it are considered to be a major fair housing impediment. AI Consultant recommendation was for SHRA to routinely evaluate the racial/ethnic impact of the programs it designs and implements to address the crisis, and should, to the maximum extent permitted by law, seek to ensure that minorities receive the benefit of these initiatives to an extent commensurate with the impact the foreclosure crisis has had on their communities.

### Impediment Update

### Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP)

In direct response to the foreclosure crisis, SHRA was awarded over \$40 million NSP grants (NSP1 and NSP3). These grants where provided to purchase foreclosed or abandoned homes and to rehabilitate, resell, or redevelop these homes in order to stabilize neighborhoods and stem the decline of house values of neighboring homes. The NSP program targeted that were most severely impacted by foreclosures and subprime lending, and in weaker housing markets that are not as readily able to recover without assistance. Refer to NSP reports for accomplishment information.

## Al Impediment: Weak Fair Housing Enforcement by the Human Rights/Fair Housing Commission (Commission)

In 2014, the Joint Powers Authority (JPA) decided to dissolve the Commission due to withdrawal of the JPA participants and discontinued funding. Beginning with the dissolution of the Commission, SHRA, the City and County of Sacramento, and the Cities of Citrus Heights, Elk Grove and Rancho Cordova have reestablish fair housing services through a central intake under the renter hotline and a partnership between SHRA, the City and County of Sacramento, Legal Services of Northern California (LSNC), Sacramento Self-Help Housing (SSHH).

### Fair Housing Activities and Enforcement

SHRA continues to contract with LSNC to perform education/training, workshops, implicit bias training, investigation/testing/litigation, and referral/coordination with SSHH, DFEH, HUD, FHEO, RHA, Project Sentinel, and SHRA.

SHRA continues to contract with SSHH to provide a telephone and Internet-based "Renter's Helpline," counseling, dispute resolution and fair housing services for Sacramento County residents in a housing crisis or dispute. SSHH has two subawards with tasks summarized below.

### Project Sentinel

- Accept referrals from SSHH and LSNC
- Conduct client intake for all calls related to fair housing and/or discrimination to determine the

need for counseling and/or investigation

- Investigate those cases where allegations of housing discrimination have merit
- Conduct public outreach activities and train fair housing testers

### California Rental Housing Association Services

Accept referrals from SSHH for property owner/manager assistance with landlord-tenant matters, provide guidance and assistance to SSHH staff as needed in order to resolve landlord-tenant disputes, and conduct public outreach activities.

### AI Impediment - Folsom

Impediment Update - In Folsom, (a) a continued shortage of affordable housing, (b) restrictive Conditional Use Permit and parking requirements for group homes, and (c) a discriminatory definition of family in the zoning code. Folsom has modified its code to remove the second of these three factors, but current data suggests that affordable housing for low and moderate income families remains in very short supply, and the definition of a family still needs to be amended or removed. Update: In its 2013-2021 Housing Element, Goals and Policies, states that the city to provide an adequate supply of suitable sites for the development of a range of housing types to meet the housing needs of all segments of the population. An additional sub-goal is for the City to ensure that sufficient land is designated and zoned in a range of residential densities to accommodate the City's regional share of housing. Two other main goals are removing barriers to the production of housing by minimizing governmental constraints on the development of housing for all income levels and for persons with disabilities. The other is to facilitate affordable housing opportunities to serve the needs of people who live and work in the community. The City will continue to work with SHRA on keeping the 2009 level of Housing Choice Vouchers in the community. The City is committed to ensuring that there is equal opportunity for fair housing choice for all of its citizens. Folsoms current zoning code defines family as follows: Family shall mean one person living alone or two or more persons living together in a dwelling unit with common access to, and common use of, all living, kitchen, and eating areas within the dwelling unit. (Ord. 1144 Section 3 (part), 2011: prior code Section 3104.26).

### CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

SHRA's Development & Federal Programs staff provides ongoing technical assistance, monitors subrecipients and makes site visits on a regular basis to ensure program compliance with HUD regulations. Monitoring assists in determining if the suprecipient is carrying out its program and activities within the timeline denoted in the subrecipeint agreement. It also ensures that the required records are maintined to demonstrate compliance with applicable regulations.

Staff monitors **CDBG** and **ESG** contracts, financial management systems, timeliness, and programmatic activity for compliance. Staff is committed to providing accurate unduplicated demographic information in IDIS to comply with HUD's reporting requirements. Client demographics are also entered into HMIS for ESG participants.

In 2019, Federal Programs staff conducted on-site and monitoring desk reviews per its Monitoring Plan.

On-Site Monitoring: Meals on Wheels (CDBG).

Desk Reviews/Technical Assistance: Desk reviews were performed on over 15 County CDBG, and ESG subrecipients.

SHRA's Portfolio Management Division regularly monitors **HOME**-assisted properties to confirm that residents qualify for HOME designated units and borrowers comply with regulatory requirements as outlined in property agreements. Please refer to the HOME section of this report for further detailed information regarding HOME monitoring.

### **CDBG Timeliness Requirement**

On October 31st of each year, HUD requires that the County have no more than 1.5 times its entitlement grant allocation in its account. Beginning in March, SHRA staff performed weekly reviews of expenditures and recommended Action Plan amendments and worked with sub-recipients to facilitate timely expenditures. SHRA did not meet the timeliness requirement by October 31, 2019 and will continue to work deligently with its subrecipients on timely invoicing. Construction related projects have delays due to bids coming in higher than expected, no respondants requiring re-bidding, and weather related delays (i.e. heavy rainfall). SHRA and the County's Department of Transporation meet on a regular basis to discuss projects which includes SHRA's expectation of timely invoicing.

### Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

# Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

Use the above text if no comments received after public comment period has ended.

For public comment, leave section blank.

### CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

### Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

The City and County have not changed the objectives of its CDBG program and continues to strategically use CDBG funds to maximize leverage for infrastructure and public facility improvements, housing development and homeownership, public services, commercial revitalization, and planning and administration activities. There is a continuous need for CDBG funds to fulfill objectives in all the above categories hence no changes are recommended based on Sacramento's experiences.

The City and County pursued all potential resources as indicated in the Consolidated Plan by working with developers, non-profits and other governmental agencies to leverage a variety of funds for the construction and rehabilitation of affordable housing projects and programs, opportunities for low- and moderate-income people to become homeowners, assistance to homeless persons, public service programs, job training and education, community development activities directed toward revitalizing neighborhoods and economic development.

SHRA staff continued to revise and improve standard practices, as needed. In 2019, SHRA prepared to implement Emphasys software to support a range of activities including receiving applications from developers, underwriting and monitoring for both multi-family and single-family lending and compliance programs. This system is replacing multiple out-dated databases that staff have relied on to track funding activities and requirements. SHRA has a very strong knowledge of project implementation from a policy standpoint, and has excellent resources for navigating tax credit markets and financially structuring large multifamily projects.

Based on recommendations made during a HUD site visit and financial single-audit in 2016, SHRA has made the following updates and improvements:

- Contracts and attachments updated to reflect the new Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (2 CFR part 200).
- Using updated Part 58 Environmental Review forms for Exempt and Categorically Excluded projects.

# Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?

No

### [BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

NA

### CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)

# Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

SHRA's Portfolio Management Unit regularly monitors HOME-assisted properties to confirm that residents qualify for HOME designated units and borrowers comply with regulatory requirements as outlined in property agreements. Inspected properties have been entered into IDIS, and are on file with SHRA.

In 2019, **50** onsite compliance monitoring reviews were conducted for HOME-assisted rental housing properties in the County. Compliance monitoring included a sample audit of tenant eligibility documentation, a physical inspection of a sample of HOME-assisted units, a physical inspection of the exterior of the properties, and an assessment of property management performance. In addition, property managers submitted the annual Unit Status Report, Affirmative Marketing Questionnaire, updated Tenant Wait List and Security Questionnaire Report for each property. The Unit Status Report includes a listing of current tenants occupying HOME-assisted units, household annual income, source of income, household size, ethnicity, household type, number of bedrooms, current rent amount, and affordable income designation.

SHRA Compliance Analysts identified all tenant files with incorrect or missing eligibility documentation. Compliance Analysts prepare summary letters listing all findings along with required corrective actions. Owners are given a timeframe for making corrections and submitting evidence to SHRA. When significant findings are made, SHRA will recommend or require the owner to make a change in management agent. As with previous years, although there were errors found during the compliance monitoring reviews, all of the properties were in compliance with the rent and income requirements of the HOME Program.

In addition to annual on-site inspections and file reviews, SHRA also conducts "Pre-occupancy Meetings" during which staff meet with the developer, the asset manager, site manager, and resident services provider to go over all of the requirements laid out in the SHRA HOME regulatory agreement. Conducting this meeting helps to set the stage for long-term compliance by our owners. Following the Transition Meeting, Compliance Analysts conduct an initial site review to make sure that the tenant files have been set up properly and HOME rules are being complied with.

# Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 92.351(b)

SHRA makes housing options available to all qualified individuals regardless of race, color, religion, sex, familial status, disability, national origin, sexual orientation, and gender identity. SHRA markets its single-family home loan programs to area lenders, who are trained to offer the program to all incomeeligible buyers. SHRA also works with professional housing counseling agencies to offer homebuyer education and counseling to prospective homebuyers. Counseling services include outreach in different languages, and education on fair housing law.

In 2019, SHRA adopted updated Affirmative Marketing Guidelines for all SHRA-funded properties. For all multi-family rental projects, SHRA strictly enforces affirmative marketing and Equal Housing Opportunity practices. Each Agency-funded project is required to report the status of their affirmative marketing practices annually using SHRA's Affirmative Marketing Compliance Questionnaire. Compliance measures include but are not limited to advertising in both general circulation and minority newspapers, and displaying the Equal Housing Opportunity logo in a prominent location that is visible to both ingress and egress to the property. To ensure outreach efforts to minority (MBE) and womenowned business enterprises (WBE), SHRA includes MBE/WBEs in bid packages, and advertises in minority newspapers. SHRA also maintains a database of MBE/WBEs, which is made available to recipients of bid packages.

For corrective actions, Borrowers received written notifications of file exceptions within seven days of the review and were required to submit corrections and/or Action Plans in a timely manner, thus avoiding non-compliance penalties. As with previous years, although there were errors found during the compliance monitoring reviews, all of the properties were in compliance with the rent and income requirements of the HOME Program.

# Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

Refer to the County 2018 CDBG and HOME PI and RLF Revenue table in CR 15 and PR 23- Summary of Accomplishments for information regarding the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics.

# Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 91.320(j)

SHRA offers the Mortgage Credit Certificate (MCC) Program which provides a 20 percent Federal income tax credit based on the mortgage interest paid thus providing the homebuyer with more disposable income. Lenders are able to use the anticipated tax savings when they calculate the monthly payment a buyer can afford. The Program Layering Policy allows low-income buyers to combine SHRA assistance

programs such as, CalHome First-Time Homebuyer Mortgage Assistance Program and the Mortgage Credit Certificate Program to increase their buying power.

The Home Repair Program assists very-low income homeowners (below 50% of area median income) with emergency repairs and disabled residents (owners and renters) with accessibility modifications.

SHRA also assists eligible HIV/AIDS households in maintaining stable housing arrangements, reducing their risk of homelessness and improving their access to care through the use of Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA) funds. This is done so through tenant-based rental assistance (TBRA), short-term housing assistance (STRMU), facility-based housing assistance and supportive services. The City of Sacramento receives HOPWA funds on behalf of the City and County of Sacramento. Please see the HOPWA and City of Sacramento CAPER for details on the HOPWA program.

The Multi-Family Housing Loan Program requires a set aside of units affordable to targeted income level households in order to provide affordable housing throughout the area. Program offers gap financing using federal funds including HOME and CDBG along with locally-generated housing trust funds which leverage federal and State Low-Income Housing Tax Credits, Mortgage Revenue Bonds and State funding programs such as the Multifamily Housing Program and the Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities program.

SHRA Development Finance staff worked closely with the County to identify developers of new permanent supportive housing for mentally ill individuals. The No Place Like Home (NPLH) program will bring several million dollars into the County for the production of much-needed housing for mentally ill and homeless households. The County received NPLH awards for two projects in 2019 and submitted applications for two more in the beginning of 2020. SHRA conducted the application processing in close coordination with County staff who focus on homelessness and behavioral health.

### CR-55 - HOPWA 91.520(e)

### Identify the number of individuals assisted and the types of assistance provided

Table for report on the one-year goals for the number of households provided housing through the use of HOPWA activities for: short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance payments to prevent homelessness of the individual or family; tenant-based rental assistance; and units provided in housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds.

Number of Households Served Through:	One-year Goal	Actual
Short-term rent, mortgage, and utility		
assistance to prevent homelessness of the		
individual or family	0	0
Tenant-based rental assistance	0	0
Units provided in permanent housing facilities		
developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA		
funds	0	0
Units provided in transitional short-term		
housing facilities developed, leased, or		
operated with HOPWA funds	0	0

Table 14 – HOPWA Number of Households Served

### Narrative

Please refer to the 2019 City of Sacramento and HOPWA CAPER for HOPWA information.

### CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

### ESG Supplement to the CAPER in SAGE

### **For Paperwork Reduction Act**

### 1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

**Basic Grant Information** 

Recipient Name	SACRAMENTO COUNTY
Organizational DUNS Number	139400209
EIN/TIN Number	946300529
Indentify the Field Office	SAN FRANCISCO

Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance	Sacramento City & County CoC
ESG Contact Name	
Prefix	Ms
First Name	Celia
Middle Name	0
Last Name	Yniguez
Suffix	0
Title	Program Manager
ESG Contact Address	
Street Address 1	801 12th Street
Street Address 2	0
City	Sacramento
State	CA
ZIP Code	95814
Phone Number	9164401350
Extension	0
Fax Number	0
Email Address	cyniguez@shra.org
ESG Secondary Contact	
Prefix	Mr.
First Name	David
Last Name	Contreras
Suffix	0
Title	Community Development Analyst

Phone Number9164496335Extension0Email Addressdcontreras@shra.org

### 2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date	01/01/2019
Program Year End Date	12/31/2019

### 3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Volunteers of America City: Sacramento State: CA Zip Code: 95821, 6242 DUNS Number: 166026653 Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 835422

### CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

### **10. Shelter Utilization**

Number of New Units - Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units - Conversion	0
Total Number of bed-nights available	37,960
Total Number of bed-nights provided	37,960
Capacity Utilization	100.00%

Table 24 – Shelter Capacity

# **11.** Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

Refer to ESG Sage CAPER for complete client demographics report.

### 2019 A Street Men's Emergency Shelter Performance Measure Summary

The immediate needs of guests were addressed to include shelter, health & safety issues and other fundamental human needs. Guests received safe and stable emergency shelter. The program met the nutritional needs and provided appropriate cooking facilities for all guests. The shelter also provided personal care items (linens, towels, toiletries).

Participants were single, homeless adult men. Due to funding reduction, the A Street shelter became a 10-hour a day shelter in September 2018 from a 24/7 shelter. This shelter serves City and County of Sacramento single homeless men.

- The proposed goal was to serve approximately 100 single homeless men.
- In 2019, 170 unduplicated homeless men were served exceeding the goal.
- 91 men were exited to permanent housing, temporary housing (i.e., transitional housing, families/friends, emergency shelter/back to homelessness) or an institution (i.e., psychiatric hospital, detox center, hospital, or jail).
  - Permanent housing exits = 21 (23%)
  - Temporary housing exits = 55 (60%)
  - Institutional exits = 15 (16%)

### 2019 ESG Rapid Re-Housing Performance Measure Summary

The 2019 ESG Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) Program goal was to serve 75 households. Served could include

providing rent, utility and/or security deposits, first/last month's rent, utility arrears and payments.

- Total Countywide Households Served = 81
- Total Countywide Individuals Served = 233

178 persons (combination of individuals and families) were exited to permanent housing, temporary housing (i.e., transitional housing, families/friends, emergency shelter/back to homelessness) or an institution (i.e., psychiatric hospital, detox center, hospital, or jail) with 83 individuals remaining in the program.

- Individuals Exiting to Permanent Housing = 130 (73%)
- Individuals Exiting to Temporary Housing = 27 (15%)
- Individuals Exiting to an Institution = 14 (8%)
- Client Refused/Other = 7 (4%)

There are a variety of reasons people do not exit to permanent housing, including being enrolled too early (e.g., household did not want to participate in a temporary financial/rental assistance program; household left the program and could not be found). Households exiting to temporary housing, included transitional housing, friends and family. Due to the high exit rate back into a homeless/temporary housing, VOA continues to holds group intake before enrollment to explain the program so participants could make an informed decision if they wished to participate.

### 2019 ESG Prevention Performance Measure Summary

SHRA and the Sacramento County Department of Child Protective Services (CPS) executed a Bringing Families Home contract for ESG to serve as match to assist families with family reunification by providing first/last month's rent, security/utility deposits and rental application fees. Households served were atrisk of homelessness and in some cases literally homeless.

- Total Countywide Households Served = 12
- Total Countywide Individuals Served = 46

13 persons (combination of individuals and families) were exited to permanent housing, temporary housing (i.e., transitional housing, families/friends, emergency shelter/back to homelessness) or an institution (i.e., foster care/group home, psychiatric hospital, detox center, hospital, or jail) with 27 individuals remaining in the program.

- Individuals Exiting to Permanent Housing = 0 (0%)
- Individuals Exiting to Temporary Housing = 0 (0%)
- Individuals Exiting to an Institution = 0 (0%)
- Client Refused/Other = 13 (100%)

### **CR-75** – Expenditures

### 11. Expenditures

### **11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention**

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2017	2018	2019
Expenditures for Rental Assistance		0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and			
Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation &			
Stabilization Services - Services	0	0	0
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under			
Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Homelessness Prevention	2,669	0	0

Table 25 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

### 11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2017	2018	2019
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	136,719	80,023	62,906.26
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and			
Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	71,424	42,089	84,392.24
Expenditures for Housing Relocation &			
Stabilization Services - Services	203,822	72,991	70,124.67
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under			
Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing	411,965	195,103	217,423.17

Table 26 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

### **11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter**

	Dollar Amount	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2017	2018	2019	
Essential Services	98,153	105,165	77,868	
Operations	155,231	146,372	154,436	
Renovation	0	0	0	
Major Rehab	0	0	0	
Conversion	0	0	0	
Subtotal	253,384	251,537	232,304	

Table 27 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

### 11d. Other Grant Expenditures

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2017 2018 2019		
Street Outreach	0	0	0
HMIS	0	0	0
Administration	31,438	31,866	21,041

Table 28 - Other Grant Expenditures

### 11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

Total ESG Funds Expended	2017	2018	2019
	696,787	478,506	442,800

Table 29 - Total ESG Funds Expended

### 11f. Match Source

	2017	2018	2019
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	196,272	143,441	143,441
Other Federal Funds	0	19,773	0
State Government	29,965	186,081	147,123
Local Government	434,194	488,524	425,031

Private Funds	104,938	30,796	97,398
Other	0	0	23,0930
Fees	0	0	0
Program Income	0	0	0
Total Match Amount	765,369	868,615	836,087

Table 30 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

### 11g. Total

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	2017	2018	2019
	1,462,156	1,462,156	1,379,415

Table 31 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities

### Attachment

### CDBG Financial Summary (PR 26)

ACCOUNT OF A	Office of Community Planning and Development	DATE:	02-28-20
1 at h 3	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development	TIME:	14:22
	Integrated Disbursement and Information System	PAGE:	1
2 * ii ii * 2	PR26 - CDBG Financial Summary Report		
10 J	Program Year 2019		
PRAN DEVELOP	SACRAMENTO COUNTY , CA		
and the second sec	SREWHENTO COUNTY, CR		
PART I: SUMMARY OF CDBG RESOUR			6 540 336 05
01 UNEXPENDED CDBG FUNDS AT END O	F PREVIOUS PROGRAM YEAR		6,549,336.85
02 ENTITLEMENT GRANT 03 SURPLUS URBAN RENEWAL			5,767,322.00 0.00
04 SECTION 108 GUARANTEED LOAN FUN	IDC		0.00
05 CURRENT YEAR PROGRAM INCOME			956,844.62
05a CURRENT YEAR SECTION 108 PROGRA	AM INCOME (FOR SI TYPE)		0.00
06 FUNDS RETURNED TO THE LINE-OF-CR			255,639,84
06a FUNDS RETURNED TO THE LOCAL CD			0.00
07 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL AV	AILABLE		(378,917.51)
08 TOTAL AVAILABLE (SUM, LINES 01-07)			13,150,225.80
PART II: SUMMARY OF CDBG EXPEND	DITURES		
09 DISBURSEMENTS OTHER THAN SECTION	ON 108 REPAYMENTS AND PLANNING/ADMINISTRATION		3,605,725.42
10 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL AM	IOUNT SUBJECT TO LOW/MOD BENEFIT		(886,977.50)
11 AMOUNT SUBJECT TO LOW/MOD BENE	FIT (LINE 09 + LINE 10)		2,718,747.92
12 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PLANNING/A			775,030.68
13 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR SECTION 108			0.00
14 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL EX			(189,552.62)
15 TOTAL EXPENDITURES (SUM, LINES 1)	•		3,304,225.98
16 UNEXPENDED BALANCE (LINE 08 - LIN			9,845,999.82
PART III: LOWMOD BENEFIT THIS RE 17 EXPENDED FOR LOW/MOD HOUSING I			
			0.00
<ol> <li>18 EXPENDED FOR LOW/MOD MULTI-UNI</li> <li>19 DISBURSED FOR OTHER LOW/MOD AC</li> </ol>			3,355,689,11
20 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL LO			(994,904.77)
21 TOTAL LOW/MOD CREDIT (SUM, LINE			2,360,784.34
22 PERCENT LOW/MOD CREDIT (LINE 21/			86.83%
LOW/MOD BENEFIT FOR MULTI-YEAR			0010070
23 PROGRAM YEARS(PY) COVERED IN CE			PY: PY: PY:
	DECT TO LOW/MOD BENEFIT CALCULATION		0.00
25 CUMULATIVE EXPENDITURES BENEFIT	ING LOW/MOD PERSONS		0.00
26 PERCENT BENEFIT TO LOW/MOD PERS	SONS (LINE 25/LINE 24)		0.00%
PART IV: PUBLIC SERVICE (PS) CAP	CALCULATIONS		
27 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PUBLIC SERV	ICES		756,899.00
28 PS UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT E	ND OF CURRENT PROGRAM YEAR		35,092.00
29 PS UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT E	END OF PREVIOUS PROGRAM YEAR		0.00
30 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL PS			0.00
31 TOTAL PS OBLIGATIONS (LINE 27 + L	INE 28 - LINE 29 + LINE 30)		791,991.00
32 ENTITLEMENT GRANT			5,767,322.00
33 PRIOR YEAR PROGRAM INCOME			594,541.10
34 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL SU			0.00
35 TOTAL SUBJECT TO PS CAP (SUM, LIN	•		6,361,863.10 12,45%
36 PERCENT FUNDS OBLIGATED FOR PS /			12,45%
PART V: PLANNING AND ADMINISTR 37 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PLANNING/A			775.030.68
38 PA UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT E			0.00
39 PA UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT E			0.00
40 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL PA			(111,250.48)
41 TOTAL PA OBLIGATIONS (LINE 37 + L			663,780.20
42 ENTITLEMENT GRANT			5,767,322.00
43 CURRENT YEAR PROGRAM INCOME			956,844.62
44 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL SU	BJECT TO PA CAP		0.00
45 TOTAL SUBJECT TO PA CAP (SUM, LIN	ES 42-44)		6,724,166.62
46 PERCENT FUNDS OBLIGATED FOR PA	ACTIVITIES (LINE 41/LINE 45)		9.87%

ACMENT On	Office of Community Planning and Development	DATE:	02-28-20
al.h	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development	TIME:	14:22
1	Integrated Disbursement and Information System	PAGE:	2
	PR26 - CDBG Financial Summary Report		
°	Program Year 2019		
AN DEVELO	SACRAMENTO COUNTY , CA		
	LINE 17 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES TO CONSIDER IN DETERMINING THE AMOUNT TO ENTER ON LINE	17	

Report returned no data.

### LINE 18 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES TO CONSIDER IN DETERMINING THE AMOUNT TO ENTER ON LINE 18

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2015	2	4118	Twin Rivers/Dos Rios Initiative (Relocation)	08	LMH	\$67,901.20
				08	Matrix Code	\$67,901.20
2019	9	4137	Delivery-Affordable Housing Rehab-RECS	14H	LMH	\$138,636.00
				14H	Matrix Code	\$138,636.00
Total					-	\$206,537.20

### LINE 19 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES INCLUDED IN THE COMPUTATION OF LINE 19

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2014	13	3928	6232844	Walnut Grove ADA Restroom	03E	LMC	\$3,823.12
2014	13	3928	6254280	Walnut Grove ADA Restroom	03E	LMC	\$4,624.55
2014	13	3928	6283553	Walnut Grove ADA Restroom	03E	LMC	\$211.64
2014	13	3928	6283558	Walnut Grove ADA Restroom	03E	LMC	\$517.27
2014	13	3928	6297146	Walnut Grove ADA Restroom	03E	LMC	\$1,253.67
2014	13	3928	6312804	Walnut Grove ADA Restroom	03E	LMC	\$1,101.75
2014	13	3928	6328754	Walnut Grove ADA Restroom	03E	LMC	\$1,422.69
2014	13	3928	6337564	Walnut Grove ADA Restroom	03E	LMC	\$2,257.06
2014	13	3928	6354305	Walnut Grove ADA Restroom	03E	LMC	\$770.24
					03E	Matrix Code	\$15,981.99
2017	5	4048	6328754	Jack Davis Park Improvements	03F	LMA	\$1,908.87
2018	3	4132	6328754	NOFA - Nicholas Park Improvements	03F	LMA	\$150.00
					03F	Matrix Code	\$2,058.87
2015	15	3977	6289014	Galt Central Corridor Street Improvements	03K	LMA	(\$55,639.84)
2016	2	4028	6232844	Florin Area New Streetlight Project	03K	LMA	\$190,520.11
2016	2	4028	6283553	Florin Area New Streetlight Project	03K	LMA	\$35,584.10
2016	2	4028	6283558	Florin Area New Streetlight Project	03K	LMA	\$41.50
2016	2	4028	6312804	Florin Area New Streetlight Project	03K	LMA	\$2,285.30
2016	2	4028	6328754	Florin Area New Streetlight Project	03K	LMA	\$4,068.15
2016	2	4028	6337564	Florin Area New Streetlight Project	03K	LMA	\$8,430.43
2016	30	4079	6232844	47th Avenue Pedestrian and Bicycle	03K	LMA	\$29,515.98
2016	30	4079	6254280	47th Avenue Pedestrian and Bicycle	03K	LMA	\$11,414.11
2016	30	4079	6283553	47th Avenue Pedestrian and Bicycle	03K	LMA	\$6,328.96
2016	30	4079	6312804	47th Avenue Pedestrian and Bicycle	03K	LMA	\$124,692.18
2016	30	4079	6337564	47th Avenue Pedestrian and Bicycle	03K	LMA	\$72,864.46
2017	6	4083	6239386	District 2 LED Street lights	03K	LMA	\$537,882.28
2017	6	4083	6254280	District 2 LED Street lights	03K	LMA	\$73,522.94
2017	6	4083	6283553	District 2 LED Street lights	03K	LMA	\$3,221.39
2017	6	4083	6312804	District 2 LED Street lights	03K	LMA	\$41,796.39
2017	6	4083	6337564	District 2 LED Street lights	03K	LMA	\$4,687.46
2017	7	4072	6223091	NLI-44th Ave Pedestrian/Beautification Phase II	03K	LMA	\$11,461.19
2017	7	4072	6238758	NLI-44th Ave Pedestrian/Beautification Phase II	03K	LMA	\$15,558.00
2017	7	4072	6275536	NLI-44th Ave Pedestrian/Beautification Phase II	03K	LMA	\$4,706.03
2017	7	4072	6283178	NLI-44th Ave Pedestrian/Beautification Phase II	03K	LMA	\$10,483.22
2017	7	4072	6312804	NLI-44th Ave Pedestrian/Beautification Phase II	03K	LMA	\$233,656.36
2017	10	4049	6312686	Rosemont Area Street Light	03K	LMA	\$2,687.14
					03K	Matrix Code	\$1,369,767.84
2017	1	4082	6239386	District 1 Neighborhood Sidewalk Repairs	03L	LMA	\$909.00
2017	1	4082	6254280	District 1 Neighborhood Sidewalk Repairs	03L	LMA	\$2,994.21
2017	1	4082	6312804	District 1 Neighborhood Sidewalk Repairs	03L	LMA	\$4,344.45

CAPER

	New			Office of Community Planning and Development		DATE:	02-28-20
A di	h.		U.9	5. Department of Housing and Urban Development		TIME:	14:22
1 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A				ntegrated Disbursement and Information System		PAGE:	3
્રે \star 🖬 🗸	* 8			PR26 - CDBG Financial Summary Report			-
. A	14			, , , ,			
CRBAN DE	VELOP			Program Year 2019			
The DE				SACRAMENTO COUNTY , CA			
Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2017	11	4080	6232844	Howe Avenue Sidewalk Installation	03L	LMA	\$33,444.42
2017	11	4080	6254280	Howe Avenue Sidewalk Installation	03L	LMA	\$13,251.69
2017	11	4080	6283553	Howe Avenue Sidewalk Installation	03L	LMA	\$707.28
2017	11	4080	6283558	Howe Avenue Sidewalk Installation	03L	LMA	\$38,527.57
2017	11	4080	6312804	Howe Avenue Sidewalk Installation	03L	LMA	\$114,313.98
					03L	Matrix Code	\$208,492.60
2014	15	3924	6232844	CIP Scoping	03Z	LMA	\$7.26
2014	15	3924	6254280	CIP Scoping	03Z	LMA	\$12.94
2015	3	3976	6312804	CIP Scoping	03Z	LMA	\$41,024.48
2016	6	4021	6232844	CIP Scoping	03Z	LMA	\$112.42
2016	6	4021	6254280	CIP Scoping	03Z	LMA	\$5,805.69
2016	6	4021	6283553	CIP Scoping	03Z	LMA	\$6,786.88
2016	6	4021	6283558	CIP Scoping	03Z	LMA	\$8,339.02
2017	13	4084	6232844	Capital Improvements Scoping and Environmental	03Z	LMA	\$2.50
2018	4	4100	6283553	CIP Scoping	03Z	LMA	\$375.00
2018	5	4101	6248596	Public Improvements Implementation-CD	03Z	LMA	\$72,452.79
2018	5	4102	6248596	Public Improvements Implementation-RECS	03Z	LMA	\$4,670.03
2019	4	4133	6328754	Public Improvements Implementation-CD	03Z	LMA	\$32,347.41
2019	4	4133	6337564	Public Improvements Implementation-CD	03Z	LMA	\$2,356.48
2019	4	4133	6354305	Public Improvements Implementation-CD	03Z	LMA	\$22.43
2019	4	4134	6328754	Public Improvements Implementation-RECS	03Z	LMA	\$116,165.16
2019	4	4134	6337564	Public Improvements Implementation-RECS	03Z	LMA	\$15,694.11
2019	4	4134	6354305	Public Improvements Implementation-RECS	03Z	LMA	\$496.89
				- · · · · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	03Z	Matrix Code	\$306,671.49
2019	12	4144	6328754	Senior Nutrition Program (Meals on Wheels)	05A	LMC	\$358,090.00
2019	12	4144	6337564	Senior Nutrition Program (Meals on Wheels)	05A	LMC	\$35,809.00
2010					05A	Matrix Code	\$393,899.00
2019 2019	11	4142	6328754	Homeless Activities (Salvation Army)	05Z	LMC LMC	\$163,000.00
2019	11	4143	6328754	North 5th Street Shelter (formerly Medical Detox Facility)	05Z	Matrix Code	\$200,000.00
2010		4105	6249596	Delivery Direct Usersenation Figures	05Z		\$363,000.00
2018	9	4105	6248596	Delivery-Direct Homeownership-Finance Delivery-Direct Homeownership-Finance	13B	LMH	\$28,817.64
2019 2019	10 10	4135 4135	6328754 6337564	Delivery-Direct Homeownership-Finance Delivery-Direct Homeownership-Finance	13B 13B	LMH	\$139,408.82 \$8,567.66
2019	10	4135	6354305	Delivery-Direct Homeownership-Finance	13B 13B	LMH	\$197.57
2015	10	4155	0004000	beivery-birect nomeownersnip-rinance	13B	Matrix Code	\$176,991.69
2018	7	4104	6232844	Emergency Repair Program	13B 14A	LMH	\$19,750.97
2018	7	4104	6254280	Emergency Repair Program	14A	LMH	\$26,870.94
2018	7	4104	6283553	Emergency Repair Program	14A	LMH	\$24,654.36
2018	7	4104	6283558	Emergency Repair Program	14A	LMH	\$5,278.00
2018	7	4104	6297146	Emergency Repair Program	14A	LMH	\$13,380.01
2018	7	4104	6312804	Emergency Repair Program	14A	LMH	\$7,065.72
2018	16	4112	6232844	Folsom Handyman Program	14A	LMH	\$11,831.32
2018	16	4112	6239386	Folsom Handyman Program	14A	LMH	\$9,991.00
2018	16	4112	6254280	Folsom Handyman Program	14A	LMH	\$19,083.83
2018	16	4112	6273409	Folsom Handyman Program	14A	LMH	\$15,161.94
2018	16	4112	6283553	Folsom Handyman Program	14A	LMH	\$28,655.67
2018	16	4112	6297146	Folsom Handyman Program	14A	LMH	\$5,416.24
2018	16	4112	6312804	Folsom Handyman Program	14A	LMH	\$20,245.02
2018	16	4112	6319486	Folsom Handyman Program	14A	LMH	\$9,438.47
2018	16	4112	6328754	Folsom Handyman Program	14A	LMH	\$257.29
2019	7	4139	6328754	Home Repair Program (formerly Emergency Repair Program)	14A	LMH	\$140,170.14
2019	7	4139	6337564	Home Repair Program (formerly Emergency Repair Program)	14A	LMH	\$9,235.00
2019	7	4139	6354305	Home Repair Program (formerly Emergency Repair	14A	LMH	\$2,725.86
				Program)			1-11

				Office of Community Planning and Development		DATE:	02-28-20
	h 🦄		U.3	5. Department of Housing and Urban Development		TIME:	14:22
			I	integrated Disbursement and Information System		PAGE:	4
💈 * iili	* 2			PR26 - CDBG Financial Summary Report			
5. III	EVELOPHER			Program Year 2019			
PBAN DI	EVELOF			SACRAMENTO COUNTY , CA			
Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2019	16	4141	6328754	Folsom - Seniors Helping Seniors Handyman Program (Agreement City)	14A	LMH	\$14,505.58
2019	16	4141	6337564	Folsom - Seniors Helping Seniors Handyman Program (Agreement City)	14A	LMH	\$48,423.52
					14A	Matrix Code	\$432,140.88
2018	6	4103	6232844	Minor Repair / ADA for Seniors	14H	LMH	\$8,255.24
2018	6	4103	6239386	Minor Repair / ADA for Seniors	14H	LMH	\$6,885.95
2018	6	4103	6283558	Minor Repair / ADA for Seniors	14H	LMH	\$212.23
2018	9	4106	6248596	Delivery-Affordable Housing Rehab-RECS	14H	LMH	\$29,100.11
2019	5	4140	6328754	Minor Repair for Seniors	14H	LMH	\$42,231.22
					14H	Matrix Code	\$86,684.75
Total						-	\$3,355,689.11

#### LINE 27 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES INCLUDED IN THE COMPUTATION OF LINE 27

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2019	12	4144	6328754	Senior Nutrition Program (Meals on Wheels)	05A	LMC	\$358,090.00
2019	12	4144	6337564	Senior Nutrition Program (Meals on Wheels)	05A	LMC	\$35,809.00
					05A	Matrix Code	\$393,899.00
2019	11	4142	6328754	Homeless Activities (Salvation Army)	05Z	LMC	\$163,000.00
2019	11	4143	6328754	North 5th Street Shelter (formerly Medical Detox Facility)	05Z	LMC	\$200,000.00
					05Z	Matrix Code	\$363,000.00
Total						_	\$756,899.00

#### LINE 37 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES INCLUDED IN THE COMPUTATION OF LINE 37

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2016	18	4020	6232844	Consolidated Planning	20		\$7,025.73
2016	18	4020	6283553	Consolidated Planning	20		\$27,407.61
2017	25	4060	6232844	Consolidated Planning	20		\$128.80
2018	12	4117	6273409	Consolidated Planning	20		\$2,728.75
2018	12	4117	6283553	Consolidated Planning	20		\$7,969.66
2018	12	4117	6312804	Consolidated Planning	20		\$21,624.51
2018	12	4117	6328754	Consolidated Planning	20		\$17,003.64
					20	Matrix Code	\$83,888.70
2017	27	4075	6238741	PI Admin	21A		\$2,303.51
2018	15	4111	6238758	General Program Admin-Finance	21A		\$24,505.94
2018	15	4111	6248596	General Program Admin-Finance	21A		\$80,291.66
2018	15	4111	6254265	General Program Admin-Finance	21A		\$86.65
2019	13	4136	6328754	Promise Zone Administration	21A		\$24,710.00
2019	15	4129	6328754	General Program Admin-Finance	21A		\$464,435.05
2019	15	4129	6337564	General Program Admin-Finance	21A		\$32,790.40
2019	15	4129	6354305	General Program Admin-Finance	21A		\$25,406.10
2019	15	4129	6355455	General Program Admin-Finance	21A		\$342.76
					21A	Matrix Code	\$654,872.07
2015	17	3975	6232844	Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice	21D		\$704.12
2018	23	4113	6232844	Fair Housing Activities	21D		\$16,291.06
2018	23	4113	6239386	Fair Housing Activities	21D		\$4,062.72
2018	23	4113	6254280	Fair Housing Activities	21D		\$46.17
2019	14	4138	6328754	Fair Housing Activities	21D		\$15,165.84
					21D	Matrix Code	\$36,269.91
Total						_	\$775,030.68

### HOME Summary of Accomplishments Report (PR 23)

		U.C. Davida de Cu	sine and taken <b>D</b>			DATE
ANT NEW OF HE		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ising and Urban Develop			DATE:
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Planning and Developm			TIME:
°° ★ - <b>1</b> + <sup>©</sup>		-	ent and Information Sys	tem		PAGE:
Ling Ling		HOME Summar	y of Accomplishments			
CHARAN DEVELOR						
AW DEVICE						
		Program	n Year: 2019			
		Start Date 01-Jan-20	19 - End Date 31-Dec-2	019		
		SACRAMENTO C	OUNTY CONSORTIUN	1		
		Home Disbursemen	its and Unit Completi	ons		
Activity Type	Dis	bursed Amount	Units Completed	Units Occupied		
Rentals		\$3,300,000.00	16	16		
Total, Rentals and TBRA		\$3,300,000.00	16	16		
Grand Total		\$3,300,000.00	16	16		
		+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++				
	Home	Unit Completions by	Percent of Area Med	an Income		
				Units Completed		
Activity Type	31% - 50%	51% - 60%	Total 0% - 60%	Total 0% - 80%		
Rentals	13	3	16	16		
Total, Rentals and TBRA	13	3	16	16		
Grand Total	13	3	16	16		
		Home Unit R	eported As Vacant			
Activity Type	Reported as Vacant					
Rentals	0					
Total, Rentals and TBRA	0					
Grand Total	0					

CAPER



U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Office of Community Planning and Development Integrated Disbursement and Information System HOME Summary of Accomplishments DATE: 02-28-20 TIME: 16:39 PAGE: 2

### Program Year: 2019 Start Date 01-Jan-2019 - End Date 31-Dec-2019 SACRAMENTO COUNTY CONSORTIUM

### Home Unit Completions by Racial / Ethnic Category

		Rentals
		Units
	Units	Completed -
	Completed	Hispanics
White	1	0
Black/African American	2	0
Asian	10	0
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	0
Other multi-racial	2	1
Total	16	1

	Total, Ren	tals and TBRA		Grand Total
	Units Completed	Units Completed - Hispanics		Units Completed - Hispanics
White	1	0	1	0
Black/African American	2	0	2	0
Asian	10	0	10	0
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	0	1	0
Other multi-racial	2	1	2	1
Total	16	1	16	1

### 2019 County Match Report

**HOME Match Report** 

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Office of Community Planning and Development OMB Approval No. 2506-0171 (exp. 12/31/2012)

Part I Participant Identification							Match Contr Federal Fis	cal Year (	<b>УУУУ)</b> 2019
1. Participant No. (assigned by						3. Name of Contact (p		ing this repo	ort)
069067/00001 5. Street Address of the Partic		amento County Conso	ortium				Susan Veazey 4. Contact's Phone Number (include area code)		
801 12th Street	ipating Jurisdiction					4. Contact's Phone N		440-1311	
6. City Sacramento		7.	State CA	8. Zip Code 95814					
Part II Fiscal Year Sur	nmarv		0.1	00014					
1. Excess match	,	deral fiscal year				\$ 2,	044,865.63		
2. Match contrib	uted during cu	rrent Federal fiscal y	ear (see Part III.9.)			\$	551,272.64		
3. Total match a	vailable for cu	rrent Federal fiscal ye	ear (line 1 + line 2)					\$	2,596,138.27
4. Match liability	for current Fe	deral fiscal year						\$	1,137,500.00
	5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (line 3 minus line 4)							\$	1,458,638.27
Part III Match Contribu						7. Site Preparation,			
1. Project No. or Other ID	2. Date of Contribution	3. Cash (non-Federal sources)	4. Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	5. Appraised Land / Real Property	6. Required Infrastructure			9. Total Match	
Crossroad Gardens (40	(mm/dd/yyyy) 12/20/2018	228540.48	184914.35				13	7818.16	551272.64
				page 1 of 4 pages				form	HUD-40107-A (12/94)

CAPER

Name of the Participating Ju	urisdiction							Federal Fiscal Year (yyyy)
1. Project No. or Other ID	2. Date of Contribution	3. Cash (non-Federal sources)	4. Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	5. Appraised Land / Real Property	6. Required Infrastructure	7. Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	8. Bond Financing	9. Total Match
	(mm/dd/yyyy)							
	1	1	1	page 2 of 4 pages	1	1	form	HUD-40107-A (12/94)

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 45 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. This agency may not conduct or sporor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

The HOME statute imposes a significant number of data collection and reporting requirements. This includes information on assized properties, on the owners or tenants of the properties, and on other programmatic areas. The information will be used: 1) to assist HOME participants in managing their programs; 2) to track per formance of participants in meeting fund commitment and expenditure deadlines; 3) to permit HUD to determine whether each participant meets the HOME statutory income targeting and affordability requirements; and 4) to permit HUD to determine compliance with other statutory and regulatory program requirements. This data collection is authorized under Title II of the Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act or related authorities. Access to Federal grant funds is contingent on the reporting of certain project-specific data elements. Records of information collected will be maint ained by the recipients of the assistance. Information on activities and expenditures of grant funds is public information and is generally available for disclosure. Recipients are responsible for en suring confidentiality when public disclosure is not required.

#### Instructions for the HOME Match Report

#### Applicability:

The HOME Match Report is part of the HOME APR and must be filled out by every participating jurisdiction that incurred a match liability. Match liability occurs when FY 1993 funds (or subsequent year funds) are drawn down from the U.S. Treasury for HOME projects. A Participating Jurisdiction (PJ) may start counting match contributions as of the beginning of Federal Fiscal Year 1993 (October 1, 1992). A jurisdiction not required to submit this report, either because it did not incur any match or because it had a full match reduction, may submit a HOME Match Report if it wishes. The match would count as excess match that is carried over to subsequent years. The match reported on this form must have been contributed during the reporting period (between October 1 and September 30).

#### Timing:

This form is to be submitted as part of the HOME APR on or before December 31. The original is sent to the HUD Field Office. One copy is sent to the

Office of Affordable Housing Programs, CGHF Room 7176, HUD, 451 7th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20410.

The participating jurisdiction also keeps a copy.

#### Instructions for Part II:

- 1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year: Excess match carried over from prior Federal fiscal year.
- 2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year: The total amount of match contributions for all projects listed under Part III in column 9 for the Federal fiscal year.

- 3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal 5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year: The sum of excess match carried over from the prior Federal fiscal year (Part II. line 1) and the total match contribution for the current Federal fiscal year (Part II. line 2). This sum is the total match available for the Federal fiscal year.
- 4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year: The amount of match liability is available from HUD and is provided periodically to PJs. The match must be provided in the current year. The amount of match that must be provided is based on the amount of HOME funds drawn from the U.S. Treasury for HOME projects. The amount of match required equals 25% of the amount drawn down for HOME projects during the Federal fiscal year. Excess match may be carried over and used to meet match liability for subsequent years (see Part II line 5). Funds drawn down for administrative costs, CHDO operating expenses, and CHDO capacity building do not have to be matched. Funds drawn down for CHDO seed money and/or technical assistance loans do not have to be matched if the project does not go forward. A jurisdiction is allowed to get a partial reduction (50%) of match if it meets one of two statutory distress criteria, indicating "fiscal distress," or else a full reduction (100%) of match if it meets both criteria, indicating "severe fiscal distress." The two criteria are poverty rate (must be equal to or greater than 125% of the average national family poverty rate to qualify for a reduction) and per capita income (must be less than 75% of the national average per capita income to qualify for a reduction). In addition, a jurisdiction can get a full reduction if it is declared a disaster area under the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Act.

page 3 of 4 pages

year: The total match available for the current Federal fiscal year (Part II. line 3) minus the match liability for the current Federal fiscal year (Part II. line 4). Excess match may be carried over and applied to future HOME project match liability.

#### Instructions for Part III:

Project No. or Other ID: "Project number" is assigned by the C/MI System when the PJ makes a project setup call. These projects involve at least some Treasury funds. If the HOME project does not involve Treasury funds, it must be identified with "other ID" as follows: the fiscal year (last two digits only), followed by a number (starting from "01" for the first non-Treasury-funded project of the fiscal year), and then at least one of the following abbreviations: "SF" for project using shortfall funds, "PI" for projects using program income, and "NON" for non-HOME-assisted affordable housing. Example: 93.01.SF, 93.02.PI, 93.03.NON. etc.

Shortfall funds are non-HOME funds used to make up the difference between the participation threshold and the amount of HOME funds allocated to the PJ; the participation threshold requirement applies only in the PJ's first year of eligibility. [§92.102]

Program income (also called "repayment income") is any return on the investment of HOME funds. This income must be deposited in the jurisdiction's HOME account to be used for HOME projects. [§92.503(b)]

form HUD-40107-A (12/94)

Non-HOME-assisted affordable housing is investment in housing not assisted by HOME funds that would qualify as "affordable housing" under the HOME Program definitions. "NON" funds must be contributed to a specific project; it is not sufficient to make a contribution to an entity engaged in developing affordable housing. [§92.219(b)]

- 2. Date of Contribution: Enter the date of contribution. Multiple entries may be made on a single line as long as the contributions were made during the current fiscal year. In such cases, if the contributions were made at different dates during the year, enter the date of the last contribution.
- 3. Cash: Cash contributions from non-Federal resources. This means the funds are contributed permanently to the HOME Program regardless of the form of investment the jurisdiction provides to a project. Therefore all repayment, interest, or other return on investment of the contribution must be deposited in the PJ's HOME account to be used for HOME projects. The PJ, non-Federal public entities (State/local governments), private entities, and individuals can make contributions. The grant equivalent of a below-market interest rate loan to the project is eligible when the loan is not repayable to the PJ's HOME account. [§92.220(a)(1)] In addition, a cash contribution can count as match if it is used for eligible costs defined under §92.206 (except administrative costs and CHDO operating expenses) or under §92.209, or for the following non-eligible costs: the value of non-Federal funds used to remove and relocate ECHO units to accommodate eligible tenants, a project reserve account for replacements, a project reserve account for unanticipated increases in operating costs, operating subsidies, or costs relating to the portion of a mixed-income or mixed-use project not related to the affordable housing units. [§92.219(c)]
- 4. Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges: Taxes, fees, and charges that are normally and customarily charged but have been waived, foregone, or deferred in a manner that achieves affordability of the HOME-assisted housing. This includes State tax credits for low-income housing development. The amount of real estate taxes may be based on the

post-improvement property value. For those taxes, fees, or charges given for future years, the value is the present discounted cash value. [§92.220(a)(2)]

- Appraised Land/Real Property: The appraised value, before the HOME assistance is provided and minus any debt burden, lien, or other encumbrance, of land or other real property, not acquired with Federal resources. The appraisal must be made by an independent, certified appraiser. [§92.220(a)(3)]
- Required Infrastructure: The cost of investment, not made with Federal resources, in on-site and off-site infrastructure directly required for HOME-assisted affordable housing. The infrastructure must have been completed no earlier than 12 months before HOME funds were committed. [§92.220(a)(4)]
- 7. Site preparation, Construction materials, Donated labor: The reasonable value of any site-preparation and construction materials, not acquired with Federal resources, and any donated or voluntary labor (see §92.354(b)) in connection with the site-preparation for, or construction or rehabilitation of, affordable housing. The value of site-preparation and construction materials is determined in accordance with the PJ's cost estimate procedures. The value of donated or voluntary labor is determined by a single rate ("labor rate") to be published annually in the Notice Of Funding Availability (NOFA) for the HOME Program. [§92.220(6)]
- 8. Bond Financing: Multifamily and single-family project bond financing must be validly issued by a State or local government (or an agency, instrumentality, or political subdivision thereof). 50% of a loan from bond proceeds made to a multifamily affordable housing project owner can count as match. 25% of a loan from bond proceeds made to a single-family affordable housing project owner can count as match. Loans from all bond proceeds, including excess bond match from prior years, may not exceed 25% of a PJ's total annual match contribution. [§92.220(a)(5)] The amount in excess of the 25% cap for bonds may carry over, and the excess will count as part of the statutory limit of up to 25% per year. Requirements regarding

bond financing as an eligible source of match will be available upon publication of the implementing regulation early in FY 1994.

 Total Match: Total of items 3 through 8. This is the total match contribution for each project identified in item 1.

#### Ineligible forms of match include:

- Contributions made with or derived from Federal resources e.g. CDBG funds [§92.220(b)(1)]
- Interest rate subsidy attributable to the Federal taxexemption on financing or the value attributable to Federal tax credits [§92.220(b)(2)]
- Contributions from builders, contractors or investors, including owner equity, involved with HOME-assisted projects. [§92.220(b)(3)]
- 4. Sweat equity [§92.220(b)(4)]
- Contributions from applicants/recipients of HOME assistance [§92.220(b)(5)]
- Fees/charges that are associated with the HOME Program only, rather than normally and customarily charged on all transactions or projects [§92.220(a)(2)]
- 7. Administrative costs

page 4 of 4 pages

### SHRA MULTIFAMILY HOME FUNDED PROPERTIES- COUNTY ONSITE INSPECTIONS IN 2019

		Unit Counts		
Property Name	Property Address	HOME Units	Total Units	
Acacia Meadows	7710 Stockton Boulevard Sacramento 95823	12	140	
Anton Arcade Apts.	2134 Butano Drive Sacramento 95825	11	148	
Arbor Creek Family	8340 Elk Grove Florin Rd Sacramento 95829	11	102	
Arbor Creek Senior	8350 Elk Grove Florin Rd Sacramento 95829	45	60	
Ardenaire Apts.	1964 Ethan Way Sacramento 95825	12	52	
Asbury Place	1520 Morse Avenue Sacramento 95864	11	104	
Ashford Heights (The Oaks)	7473 Holworthy Way Sacramento 95842	33	300	
Bell Street Apts.	1208 Bell Street Sacramento 95825	18	18	
Breckenridge Village	7326 Stockton Boulevard Sacramento 95823	15	160	
Cardosa Village	5710 66th Avenue Sacramento 95823	20	20	

Cascades, The (Village East Apts)	9838 Lincoln Village Drive Sacramento 95827	22	112
	(North Highlands VOA Living Center)		
Centennial Place (North Highlands VOA Living Center)	3525 Myrtle Avenue North Highlands 95660	8	15
Colonia San Martin	7271 Florin Mall DriveSacramento 95823	11	59
		Unit C	Counts
Property Name	Property Address	HOME Units	Total Units
			<u>Onno</u>
Cottage Estates Apts.	2000 Landon Lane Sacramento 95825	11	152
Creekview Manor Sr. (Folsom Sr)	1720 Creekside Drive Folsom 95630	3	138
Crossroad Gardens (Florin Woods)	7322 Florin Woods Sacramento 95823	16	70
Diogenes Youth Center	9191 Tuolumne Drive Sacramento 95826	2	2
Ethan Terrace Apartments	1820 Ethan Way Sacramento 95825	11	92
	809 Bidwell Street		
Folsom Oaks	Folsom 95630	3	19
	5400 Auburn Blvd.		
Foothill Farms Sr.	Sacramento 95841	11	136
	9483 Greenbacl Lane		
Forestwood at Folsom	Folsom 95630	4	55

Gaddi Grove (Fruitridge Vista I & II)	4110 Gaddi Drive Sacramento 95824	11	56
Garden Village (Willow Pointe)	6601&6701 Sunnyslope Dr Sacramento 95828	11	195
Greenbriar Apts.	2844 Wright Street Sacramento 95821	5	138
Greenway Village	6311 Samson Boulevard Sacramento 95824	11	54
Grizzly Hollow III	1000 Elk Hills DriveGalt 95632	7	54
			Counts
Property Name	Property Address	HOME	Total
		Units	Units
Hastings Park	4635 Antelope Road Antelope 95843	39	242
Ladi Sr. (Ladan Sr. Apts)	2300 Auburn Boulevard Sacramento 95821	11	147
La Loma	2088 W. La Loma Drive Rancho Cordova 95670	5	34
Los Robles (Sky Parkway)	5500 Sky Parkway Sacramento 95823	11	80
Lotus Landing (Azure Park)	5545 Sky Parkway Sacramento 95823	207	220
Morse Glen Estates	3358 Lerwick Road Sacramento 95821	4	50

Normandy Park Sr.	7575 Madison Avenue Citrus Heights 95610	11	116
		Unit Counts	
Property Name	Property Address	HOME Units	Total Units
Los Olivos (Olivewood)	2805 La Quinta Sacramento 95826	11	68
Rosswood Manor	9400 N. Kiefer Boulevard Sacramento 95826	11	97
Serna Village	5836 Dudley Boulevard McClellan 95652	8	83
Shiloh Arms	4009 23rd Avenue Sacramento 95820	11	106
Sienna Vista Apts.	4901 Little Oak Lane Sacramento 95841	146	296
Sierra Creek	4500 Elverta Road Antelope 95843	11	144
Sierra Sunrise Sr.	4525 Manzanita Avenue Carmichael 95608	5	119
Sierra Sunrise SrPhase 2	4525 Manzanita Avenue Carmichael 95608	2	20
Southwind Court Apts.	7371 Power Inn Road Sacramento 95828	11	88
Sutter Place Apartments	5801 Sutter Avenue Carmichael 95608	5	47

Tarrasina Dark Maadawa	8875 Lewis Stein Road	44	144
Terracina Park Meadows	Elk Grove 95758	11 Unit (	144 Counts
Property Name	Property Address	HOME Units	Total Units
Varenna Sr. Apts.	2351 Wyda Way Sacramento 95825	11	152
Verandas, The	8160 Power Inn Road Sacramento 95828	6	180
Vintage Woods Sr.	8780 Madison Avenue Fair Oaks 95861	11	185
Waterman Square	9150 Waterman Road Elk Grove 95624	2	84

### **County 2019 ESG CAPER**



### HUD ESG CAPER

Grant: ESG: Bacramenio County - CA - Report Type: CAPER

#### Report Date Range

1/1/2018 to 18/31/2016

Q01a. Contact Information

Arst name	Colo
Middle name	
Lest name	Yriguez
Suttle	
THE	Program Wanager
Street Address 1	801 12th Street
Street Address 2	
Gity	Sacramento
State	Callonia
ZIF Cade	85814
E-mail Address	cyrnguez@shra.etg
Phone Number	(916)440-1350
Extension	
Fax Number	

### 1/29/2020

### Q06c: Data Quality: Income and Housing Data Quality

Sage

	Error Count	% of Error Rate
Destination	7	3.93 %
Income and Sources at Start	0	0.00 %
Income and Sources at Annual Assessment	0	0.00 %
Income and Sources at Exit	2	2.70 %

### Q06d: Data Quality: Chronic Homelessness

	Count of Total Records	Missing Time in Institution	Missing Time in Housing	Approximate Date Started DK/R/missing	Number of Times DK/R/missing	Number of Months DK/R/missing	% of Records Unable to Calculate
ES, SH, Street Outreach	0	0	0	0	0	٥	-
тн	0	0	0	0	0	0	-
PH (All)	98	0	0	0	0	0	0.00 %
Total	98	0	0	0	0	٥	0.00 %

#### Q06e: Data Quality: Timeliness

	Number of Project Start Records	Number of Project Exit Records
0 days	113	74
1-3 Days	26	63
4-6 Days	4	17
7-10 Days	0	24
11+ Days	0	0

#### Q06f: Data Quality: Inactive Records: Street Outreach & Emergency Shelter

	# of Records	# of Inactive Records	% of Inactive Records
Contact (Adults and Heads of Household in Street Outreach or ES - NBN)	0	0	-
Bed Night (All Clients in ES - NBN)	0	0	-

#### Q07a: Number of Persons Served

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Adults	98	20	78	0	0
Children	135	0	135	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/ Client Refused	0	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0	0
Total	233	20	213	0	0
For PSH & RRH - the total persons served who moved into housing	173	15	158	0	0

#### Q08a: Households Served

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Total Households	81	17	64	0	0
For PSH & RRH – the total households served who moved into housing	59	13	46	0	0

https://demo.sagehmis.info/us/report.aspx?report=CAPER FY2020&params=99231

### 1/29/2020

Sage

### Q08b: Point-in-Time Count of Households on the Last Wednesday

### Total Without Children With Children and Adults With Only Children Unknown Household Type

January	20	0	20	0	0	
April	26	0	26	0	0	
July	22	2	20	0	0	
October	12	2	10	0	٥	

#### Q09a: Number of Persons Contacted

	All Persons Contacted	First contact – NOT staying on the Streets, ES, or SH	First contact – WAS staying on Streets, ES, or SH	First contact – Worker unable to determine
Once	0	0	0	0
2-5 Times	0	0	0	0
6-9 Times	0	0	0	0
10+ Times	0	0	0	0
Total Persons Contacted	0	0	0	0

#### Q09b: Number of Persons Engaged

	All Persons Contacted	First contact – NOT staying on the Streets, ES, or SH	First contact – WAS staying on Streets, ES, or SH	First contact – Worker unable to determine
Once	0	0	٥	0
2-5 Contacts	0	0	0	0
6-9 Contacts	0	0	0	0
10+ Contacts	0	0	0	0
Total Persons Engaged	0	0	0	0
Rate of Engagement	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

#### Q10a: Gender of Adults

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	Unknown Household Type
Male	42	11	31	0
Female	56	9	47	0
Trans Female (MTF or Male to Female)	0	0	0	0
Trans Male (FTM or Female to Male)	0	0	0	0
Gender Non-Conforming (i.e. not exclusively male or female)	0	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	98	20	78	0

### Q10b: Gender of Children

	Total	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Male	70	70	0	0
Female	65	65	0	0
Trans Female (MTF or Male to Female)	0	0	0	0
Trans Male (FTM or Female to Male)	0	0	0	0
Gender Non-Conforming (i.e. not exclusively male or female)	0	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	135	135	0	0

https://demo.sagehmis.info/us/report.aspx?report=CAPER FY2020&params=99231

Sage

### 1/29/2020

Q10c: Gender of Persons Missing Age Information

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Male	0	0	0	0	0
Female	0	0	0	0	0
Trans Female (MTF or Male to Female)	0	0	0	0	0
Trans Male (FTM or Female to Male)	0	0	0	0	0
Gender Non-Conforming (i.e. not exclusively male or female)	0	0	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	0	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0

#### Q10d: Gender by Age Ranges

	Total	Under Age 18	Age 18- 24	Age 25- 61	Age 62 and over	Client Doesn't Know/ Client Refused	Data Not Collected
Male	112	70	4	37	1	0	0
Female	121	65	0	56	0	0	0
Trans Female (MTF or Male to Female)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Trans Male (FTM or Female to Male)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gender Non-Conforming (i.e. not exclusively male or female)	٥	0	0	0	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	233	135	4	93	1	0	0

### Q11: Age

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Under 5	53	0	53	0	0
5 - 12	63	0	63	0	0
13 - 17	19	0	19	0	0
18 - 24	4	1	а	0	0
25-34	41	а	39	0	0
35 - 44	31	4	27	0	0
45 - 54	15	5	10	0	0
55 - 61	6	6	0	0	0
62+	1	1	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	0	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0	0
Total	233	20	213	0	0

#### Q12a: Race

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
White	99	11	88	0	0
Black or African American	90	6	84	0	0
Asian	4	D	4	0	0
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	1	1	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	4	0	4	0	0
Multiple Races	34	2	32	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	0	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0	0
Total	233	20	213	0	0

https://demo.sagehmis.info/us/report.aspx?report=CAPER FY2020&params=99231

#### 1/29/2020

Q12b: Ethnicity

Sage

### Total Without Children With Children and Adults With Only Children Unknown Household Type

Non-Hispanic/Non-Latino	180	17	163	0	0
Hispanic/Latino	53	а	50	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	0	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0	0
Total	233	20	213	0	0

#### Q13a1: Physical and Mental Health Conditions at Start

	Total Persons	Without Children	Adults in HH with Children & Adults	Children in HH with Children & Adults	With Children and Adults (5,	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Mental Health Problem	39	10	27	2	-	0	0
Alcohol Abuse	2	2	0	0	-	0	0
Drug Abuse	3	1	2	0	-	0	0
Both Alcohol and Drug Abuse	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chronic Health Condition	38	12	20	6	-	٥	0
HIV/AIDS	5	5	0	0	-	0	0
Developmental Disability	21	4	9	8	-	٥	0
Physical Disability	21	11	10	0	-	0	0

& The "With Children and Adults" column is retired as of 10/1/2019 and replaced with the columns "Adults in HH with Children & Adults" and "Children in HH with Children & Adults".

### Q13b1: Physical and Mental Health Conditions at Exit

	Total Persons	Without Children	Adults in HH with Children & Adults	Children in HH with Children & Adults	With Children and Adults &	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Mental Health Problem	29	7	22	0	-	0	0
Alcohol Abuse	2	2	0	0	-	0	0
Drug Abuse	3	1	2	0	-	0	0
Both Alcohol and Drug Abuse	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chronic Health Condition	29	11	16	2	-	٥	0
HIV/AIDS	5	5	0	0	-	0	0
Developmental Disability	13	а	6	4	-	٥	0
Physical Disability	16	10	6	0	-	0	0

& The "With Children and Adults" column is retired as of 10/1/2019 and replaced with the columns "Adults in HH with Children & Adults" and "Children in HH with Children & Adults".

https://demo.sagehmis.info/us/report.aspx?report=CAPER FY2020&params=99231

#### 1/29/2020

#### Q13c1: Physical and Mental Health Conditions for Stayers

Sage

	Total Persons	Without Children	Adults in HH with Children & Adults	Children in HH with Children & Adults	With Children and Adults &	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Mental Health Problem	10	а	5	2		٥	٥
Alcohol Abuse	123	2	<u>2</u>	121	-	2	12
Drug Abuse	1	0	1	٥	-	0	0
Both Alcohol and Drug Abuse	-	-	-	2 <del>0</del> 2	-	-	-
Chronic Health Condition	11	1	5	5	-	٥	0
HIV/AIDS	<b>.</b>	÷	-	( <del></del>	-	-	-
Developmental Disability	10	1	а	6	-	٥	0
Physical Disability	8	2	5	1	-	0	0

6. The "With Children and Adults" column is retired as of 10/1/2019 and replaced with the columns "Adults in HH with Children & Adults" and "Children in HH with Children & Adults".

0

0

#### Q14a: Domestic Violence History

#### Total Without Children With Children and Adults With Only Children Unknown Household Type Yes 31 5 26 0 0 No 67 15 52 0 0 Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Data Not Collected ٥ 0 0

78

### Q14b: Persons Fleeing Domestic Violence

98 20

Total

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Yes	8	2	6	0	0
No	23	а	20	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	0	٥	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	٥	0	0	0
Total	31	5	26	0	0

https://demo.sagehmis.info/us/report.aspx?report=CAPER FY2020&params=99231

Q15: Living Situation					
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown House Type
Homeless Situations	٥	0	0	0	0
Emergency shelter, including hotel or motel paid for with emergency shelter voucher	21	а	18	٥	0
Transitional housing for homeless persons (including homeless youth)	5	0	5	0	0
Place not meant for habitation	65	16	49	0	0
Safe Haven	0	0	0	0	0
Host Home (non-crisis)	0	0	0	0	0
Interim Housing 📞	0	0	0	0	0
Bubtotal	91	19	72	0	0
Institutional Settings	0	0	0	0	0
Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility	٥	0	0	0	0
Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center	0	0	0	0	0
Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility	0	0	0	0	0
Jail, prison or juvenile detention facility	0	0	0	0	0
Foster care home or foster care group home	0	0	0	0	0
Long-term care facility or nursing home	0	0	0	0	0
Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	0	0	0	0	0
Bubtotal	0	0	0	0	0
Other Locations	0	0	0	0	0
Permanent housing (other than RRH) for formerly homeless persons	0	0	0	0	0
Owned by client, no ongoing housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Owned by client, with ongoing housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Rental by client, with RRH or equivalent subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Rental by client, with HCV voucher (tenant or project based)	0	0	0	0	0
Rental by client in a public housing unit	0	0	0	0	0
Rental by client, no ongoing housing subsidy	٥	0	0	0	0
Rental by client, with VASH subsidy	٥	0	0	0	0
Rental by client with GPD TIP subsidy	٥	0	0	0	0
Rental by client, with other housing subsidy (including RRH)	1	0	1	٥	0
Hotel or motel paid for without emergency shelter voucher	٥	0	0	0	0
Staying or living in a friend's room, apartment or house	2	0	2	٥	0
Staying or living in a family member's room, apartment or house	4	1	а	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	0	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	٥	0	0	0	0
Bubtotal	7	1	6	0	0

& Interim housing is retired as of 10/1/2019.

https://demo.sagehmis.info/us/report.aspx?report=CAPER FY2020&params=99231

### 1/29/2020

Q16: Cash Income - Ranges

Sage

	Income at Start	Income at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers	Income at Exit for Leavers
No income	23	0	19
\$1 - \$150	1	0	1
\$151 - \$250	2	0	1
\$251 - \$500	4	0	а
\$501 - \$1000	17	3	10
\$1,001 - \$1,500	20	1	16
\$1,501 - \$2,000	11	1	10
\$2,001+	20	1	14
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0	0
Number of Adult Stayers Not Yet Required to Have an Annual Assessment	0	18	0
Number of Adult Stayers Without Required Annual Assessment	0	0	0
Total Adults	98	24	74

Q17: Cash Income - Sources

	Income at Start	Income at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers	Income at Exit for Leavers
Earned Income	31	2	26
Unemployment Insurance	5	0	6
SSI	21	1	14
SSDI	8	0	7
VA Service-Connected Disability Compensation	٥	0	0
VA Non-Service Connected Disability Pension	٥	0	0
Private Disability Insurance	0	0	0
Worker's Compensation	1	0	0
TANF or Equivalent	20	3	11
General Assistance	2	0	2
Retirement (Social Security)	2	0	2
Pension from Former Job	٥	0	0
Child Support	з	1	1
Alimony (Spousal Support)	٥	0	0
Other Source	з	2	0
Adults with Income Information at Start and Annual Assessment/Exit	0	6	74

https://demo.sagehmis.info/us/report.aspx?report=CAPER FY2020&params=99231

Sage

### 1/29/2020

#### Q19b: Disabling Conditions and Income for Adults at Exit

UK: % with Disablir Condition by Source AO: Adult AO: Adult AO: % with AC: Adult AC: Adult AC: % with UK: Adult UK: Adult AC: Total Adults UK: Total Adults A0: with Disabling Disabling Condition by Source with Disabling Condition without Disabling Condition Disabling Condition by Source with Disabling Condition without Disabling Condition without Disabling Total Adults Condition Conditi Earned Income 1 з 66.67 % 8 15 23 34.78 % 0 0 0 -2 Supplemental Security 5 0 5 100.00 % 7 2 9 77.78 % ٥ 0 0 \_ Income (SSI) Social Security Disability 100.00 % 2 5 0 5 2 ٥ 100.00 % 0 0 0 \_ Insurance (SSDI) VA Service-Connected 0 0 0 -٥ ٥ 0 \_ ٥ 0 0 \_ Disability Compensation Private Disability 0 0 0 ٥ ٥ 0 0 ٥ 0 ---Insurance Worker's 0 0 0 ٥ 0 0 0 0 0 -\_ \_ Compensation Temporary Assistance for Needy 0 0 ٥ 6 5 11 54.55 % 0 0 0 \_ Families (TANF) Retirement Income from 2 0 2 100.00 % ٥ ٥ 0 \_ 0 0 0 \_ Social Security Pension or retirement 0 0 -0 ٥ 0 \_ 0 0 0 0 \_ income from a former job \_ Child Support 0 ٥ 0 ٥ 1 1 0.00 % 0 0 0 \_ Other source 3 1 4 75.00 % 2 2 4 50.00 % 0 0 0 -No Sources 1 ٥ 1 100.00 % 12 4 16 75.00 % 0 0 0 \_ Unduplicated 2 16 33 25 58 0 0 0 14 Total Adults

### Q20a: Type of Non-Cash Benefit Sources

	Benefit at Start	Benefit at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers	Benefit at Exit for Leavers
Supplemental Nutritional Assistance Program	57	4	39
WIC	5	1	1
TANF Child Care Services	0	0	1
TANF Transportation Services	0	0	0
Other TANF-Funded Services	0	0	0
Other Source	1	0	0

https://demo.sagehmis.info/us/report.aspx?report=CAPER FY2020&params=99231

Sage

### 1/29/2020

### Q21: Health Insurance

	At Start	At Annual Assessment for Stayers	At Exit for Leavers
Medicaid	211	15	162
Medicare	4	0	4
State Children's Health Insurance Program	0	0	0
VA Medical Services	0	0	0
Employer Provided Health Insurance	11	0	8
Health Insurance Through COBRA	0	0	0
Private Pay Health Insurance	1	0	0
State Health Insurance for Adults	0	0	0
Indian Health Services Program	0	0	0
Other	2	0	0
No Health Insurance	7	0	7
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	1	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0	0
Number of Stayers Not Yet Required to Have an Annual Assessment	0	40	0
1 Source of Health Insurance	221	15	168
More than 1 Source of Health Insurance	4	0	3

### Q22a2: Length of Participation - ESG Projects

	Total	Leavers	Stayers
0 to 7 days	16	10	6
8 to 14 days	4	1	а
15 to 21 days	2	2	0
22 to 30 days	3	а	0
31 to 60 days	14	12	2
61 to 90 days	29	19	10
91 to 180 days	67	58	9
191 to 365 days	65	55	10
366 to 730 days (1-2 Yrs)	31	16	15
731 to 1,095 days (2-3 Yrs)	2	2	0
1,096 to 1,460 days (3-4 Yrs)	0	0	0
1,461 to 1,825 days (4-5 Yrs)	0	0	0
More than 1,825 days (> 5 Yrs)	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0	0
Total	233	178	55

Sage

### 1/29/2020

Q22c: Length of Time between Project Start Date and Housing Move-in Date

Without Children With Children and Adults With Only Children Unknown Household Type Total 7 days or less 8 to 14 days 15 to 21 days 22 to 30 days 31 to 60 days û 61 to 180 days 181 to 365 days 366 to 730 days (1-2 Yrs) Total (persons moved into housing) Average length of time to housing 139 13 68.00 30.00 -71.00 -Persons who were exited without move-in 47 5 186 18 Total persons

### Q22d: Length of Participation by Household Type

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
7 days or less	16	з	13	0	0
8 to 14 days	4	1	3	0	0
15 to 21 days	2	2	0	0	0
22 to 30 days	3	з	0	0	0
31 to 60 days	14	з	11	0	0
61 to 90 days	29	з	26	0	0
91 to 180 days	67	з	64	0	0
181 to 365 days	65	0	65	0	0
366 to 730 days (1-2 Yrs)	31	0	31	0	0
731 to 1,095 days (2-3 Yrs)	2	2	0	0	0
1,096 to 1,460 days (3-4 Yrs)	0	0	0	0	0
1,461 to 1,825 days (4-5 Yrs)	0	0	0	0	0
More than 1,825 days (> 5 Yrs)	0	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0	0
Total	233	20	213	0	0

#### Q22e: Length of Time Prior to Housing - based on 3.917 Date Homelessness Started

#### Total Without Children With Children and Adults With Only Children Unknown Household Type

7 days or less	0	0	0	0	0
8 to 14 days	0	0	0	0	0
15 to 21 days	0	0	0	0	0
22 to 30 days	0	0	0	0	0
31 to 60 days	6	0	6	0	0
61 to 180 days	43	6	37	0	0
181 to 365 days	48	з	45	0	0
366 to 730 days (1-2 Yrs)	20	2	18	0	0
731 days or more	15	а	12	0	0
Total (persons moved into housing)	132	14	118	0	0
Not yet moved into housing	60	5	55	0	0
Data not collected	41	1	40	0	0
Total persons	233	20	213	0	0

Sage

28/2020	Sage				
Q23c: Exit Destination – All persons					
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Permanent Destinations	0	0	٥	0	0
Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA PH	0	0	0	0	0
Owned by client, no ongoing housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Owned by client, with ongoing housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Rental by client, no ongoing housing subsidy	67	7	60	0	0
Rental by client, with VASH housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Rental by client, with GPD TIP housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Rental by client, with other ongoing housing subsidy	3	1	2	0	0
Permanent housing (other than RRH) for formerly homeless persons	5	0	5	0	0
Staying or living with family, permanent tenure	28	2	26	0	0
	3	1	20	0	0
Staying or living with friends, permanent tenure					
Rental by client, with RRH or equivalent subsidy	24	0	24	0	0
Rental by client, with HCV voucher (tenant or project based)	0	0	0	0	0
Rental by client in a public housing unit	-	-	-		
Subtotal	130	11	119	0	0
Temporary Destinations	0	0	0	0	0
Emergency shelter, including hotel or motel paid for with emergency shelter voucher	0	0	0	0	0
Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA TH	0	0	0	0	0
Transitional housing for homeless persons (including homeless youth)	6	0	6	0	0
Staying or living with family, temporary tenure (e.g. room, apartment or house)	0	0	0	0	0
Staying or living with friends, temporary tenure (e.g. room, apartment or house)	8	0	8	0	0
Place not meant for habitation (e.g., a vehicle, an abandoned building, bus/train/subway station/airport or anywhere outside)	13	5	8	٥	0
Safe Haven	0	0	0	0	٥
Hotel or motel paid for without emergency shelter voucher	0	0	0	0	0
Host Home (non-crisis)	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	27	5	22	0	0
Institutional Settings	0	0	0	0	0
Foster care home or group foster care home	13	0	13	0	0
Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility	0	0	0	0	0
Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center	1	0	1	0	٥
Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility	0	0	0	0	0
Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility	0	0	0	0	٥
Long-term care facility or nursing home	0	0	٥	٥	0
Subtotal	14	0	14	0	0
Other Destinations	0	0	0	0	0
Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	0	0	0	0	0
Deceased	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	5	0	5	0	0
Data Not Collected (no exit interview completed)	2	0	2	0	0
Subtotal	7	0	7	0	0
Total	178	16	162	0	0
Total persons exiting to positive housing destinations	130	11	119	٥	0
Total persons whose destinations excluded them from the calculation	13	0	13	0	0
Percentage	78.79	68.75 %	79.87 %		
r er sennege	%	00.70 %	17.91 %	-	_

https://demo.sagehmis.info/us/report.aspx?report=CAPER FY2020&params=99231

12/14

1/29/2020

### 1/29/2020

### Q24: Homelessness Prevention Housing Assessment at Exit

### Sage

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Able to maintain the housing they had at project startWithout a subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Able to maintain the housing they had at project start-With the subsidy they had at project start	0	0	0	0	0
Able to maintain the housing they had at project start-With an on-going subsidy acquired since project start	0	0	0	0	0
Able to maintain the housing they had at project start-Only with financial assistance other than a subsidy	0	0	0	٥	0
Moved to new housing unit-With on-going subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Moved to new housing unit-Without an on-going subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Moved in with family/friends on a temporary basis	0	0	0	0	0
Moved in with family/friends on a permanent basis	0	0	0	0	0
Moved to a transitional or temporary housing facility or program	0	0	0	0	0
Client became homeless - moving to a shelter or other place unfit for human habitation	0	0	0	0	0
Client went to jail/prison	0	0	0	0	0
Client died	0	0	0	0	0
Client doesn't know/Client refused	0	0	0	0	0
Data not collected (no exit interview completed)	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0

### Q25a: Number of Veterans

### Total Without Children With Children and Adults Unknown Household Type

Chronically Homeless Veteran	1	1	0	0
Non-Chronically Homeless Veteran	1	1	0	0
Not a Veteran	96	18	78	0
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0
Total	98	20	78	0

### Q26b: Number of Chronically Homeless Persons by Household

Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
52	8	44	0	0
181	12	169	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
233	20	213	0	0
	52 181 0 0	52 8 181 12 0 0 0 0	52 8 44 181 12 169 0 0 0 0 0 0	52 8 44 0 181 12 169 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0